



Ex vivo STAT3 phosphorylation in circulating immune cells: a novel biomarker for early cancer diagnosis and response to anti-PD-1 therapy

Basal signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3) activation is well-documented in the tumor microenvironment (TME) due to its association with cancer prognosis [1]. However, its presence and clinical relevance in the bloodstream remain unexplored. Given that STAT3-inducing cytokines, such as interleukin-6 (IL-6), are often elevated in the bloodstream of various cancer patients [2, 3], we aimed to investigate basal STAT3 activation in blood by developing a methodology to assess *ex vivo* phosphorylation of STAT3 (pSTAT3^{*ex vivo*}) in circulating immune cells.

Since phosphorylation is a transient process prone to dephosphorylation, we sought to minimize the time between blood collection and the experiment. Specifically, 1) we limited the use of peripheral blood mononuclear cell (PBMC) samples to those processed within 1 hour of blood collection, and 2) immediately fixed the samples after thawing (Figure 1A). Notably, 135 non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) patient samples processed in this way exhibited significantly higher levels of pSTAT3^{ex vivo}-positive cells compared to healthy controls (Figure 1B and Supplementary Table S1). Prolonged handling and extended experimental steps significantly decreased pSTAT3^{ex vivo} expression (Supplementary Figure S1), underscoring the importance of our novel approach in controlling the time between blood collection and the experiment.

We next investigated the cell types within PBMCs that express $pSTAT3^{ex vivo}$. $CD4^+$ T cells exhibited the highest $pSTAT3^{ex vivo}$ expression, followed by $CD8^+$ T cells, whereas monocytes, B cells, and natural killer (NK) cells showed minimal $pSTAT3^{ex vivo}$ expression (Figure 1C). Within both $CD4^+$ and $CD8^+$ T cells, $pSTAT3^{ex vivo}$ expression was highest in the least differentiated CD27⁺ CD45RA⁺ naïve subset (Figure 1C) [4]. A similar pattern was observed across multiple other cancer types (Figure 1D and Supplementary Figure S2).

Focusing on CD4⁺ naïve T cells, pSTAT3^{ex vivo} expression showed a stark contrast between NSCLC patients and healthy donors, even at stage I (Figure 1E). The area under the receiver operating characteristic curve for distinguishing stage I NSCLC patients from healthy donors was 0.9851, with a sensitivity of 0.92 at 95% specificity (Figure 1F). No tumor-specific or patient-specific clinical variables correlated with pSTAT3^{ex vivo} expression in NSCLC patients (Supplementary Figure S3), while surgical removal of the tumor decreased pSTAT3ex vivo expression (Figure 1G), supporting a direct association between pSTAT3ex vivo and tumor burden. These findings underscore the potential of pSTAT3^{ex vivo} as a blood-based diagnostic biomarker for early cancer detection, particularly useful in screening for cancer before proceeding to more invasive standard confirmation methods.

Next, we investigated the inducer of pSTAT3^{*ex vivo*}. Among the various cytokines tested, in vitro IL-6 stimulation of STAT3 best matched the pSTAT3^{*ex vivo*} expression profiles in NSCLC patients (Supplementary Figure S4A-C). To confirm the involvement of IL-6 in pSTAT3^{*ex vivo*} expression, we cultured healthy PBMCs with patient serum and assessed pSTAT3 expression. Serum-induced pSTAT3 expression tightly correlated with both the pSTAT3^{*ex vivo*} levels in the serum donors and the IL-6 concentration in the corresponding serum (Figure 1H-I). Importantly, the addition of anti-IL-6R α or anti-IL-6 antibodies to the serum completely abrogated pSTAT3 induction (Figure 1J and Supplementary Figure S4D). These findings strongly suggest that IL-6 is the predominant inducer of pSTAT3^{*ex vivo*} expression.

Given the role of IL-6 in inducing pSTAT3^{ex vivo}, we further investigated their relationship. Notably, the two parameters closely followed a dose-response curve, with

Abbreviations: IL, Interleukin; NK, Natural killer; NSCLC, Non-small cell lung cancer; PBMC, Peripheral blood mononuclear cell; PR, Partial response; pSTAT3^{ex vivo}, ex vivo phosphorylated signal transducer and activator of transcription 3; TIL, Tumor-infiltrating lymphocyte; TME, Tumor microenvironment.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.



FIGURE 1 Characterization of pSTAT3^{*ex vivo*} expression in circulating immune cells and its clinical relevance. (A) Methodology for assessing pSTAT3^{*ex vivo*} in PBMCs. Two critical steps are highlighted: 1) minimizing the time from the clinic to the laboratory, and 2) immediately fixing the sample after thawing. (B) pSTAT3^{*ex vivo*} expression in PBMCs from healthy donors (n = 35) and NSCLC patients (n = 35) an

a half-maximal effective concentration of 11.63 pg/mL (Figure 1K) [5]. Interestingly, this value is 1000-fold lower than previous in vitro estimates [6, 7] providing strong evidence that serum IL-6 can actively induce the signaling cascade. Moreover, the dose-response curve suggests that biological activity correlates with the logarithmic form of IL-6 concentration. Accordingly, while patients with low (0%-20%), intermediate (21%-60%), and high (61%-100%) pSTAT3ex vivo (pSTAT3ex vivo-lo, pSTAT3ex vivo-int, and pSTAT3^{ex vivo}-hi, respectively) showed a stepwise increase in pSTAT3ex vivo expression, IL-6 levels in the pSTAT3ex vivohi group were markedly higher than in the other two groups, rendering them relatively similar (Figure 1L). These results suggest that measuring pSTAT3^{ex vivo} expression provides a more accurate representation of systemic IL-6 activity—its capacity to trigger intracellular signaling cascades-than direct IL-6 measurements.

Given the potential of pSTAT3ex vivo as a marker of systemic IL-6 activity, we investigated the relationship between pSTAT3ex vivo and immune cell populations. Initially, we compared pSTAT3^{ex vivo} expression with the distribution of immune cell populations in peripheral blood. However, we did not observe any gross alterations (Supplementary Figure S5). We then explored immune cell populations within the TME. Notably, the frequency of M2-like CD206^{hi} tumor-associated macrophages and CD39^{hi} regulatory T cells correlated with pSTAT3^{ex vivo} and were most abundant in the pSTAT3^{ex vivo}-hi group (Supplementary Figure S6A-G), suggesting a link between systemic IL-6 activity and the formation of immunosuppressive TMEs.

Interestingly, the distribution of CD8⁺ tumorinfiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) showed a unique relationship with pSTAT3^{ex vivo} expression. We performed uniform manifold approximation and projection analysis on CD8⁺ TILs by integrating flow cytometry data from 24 NSCLC patients (Figure 1M). Among the four CD8⁺ TIL clusters, those with high CD103 expression (C3.CD103^{hi}CD39^{lo} and C4.CD103^{hi}CD39^{hi}) were specifically elevated in the pSTAT3ex vivo-int group, whereas the C2.CD27hiCD103lo cluster was reduced (Figure 1N-O and Supplementary Figure S6H). Given that CD103⁺CD8⁺ TILs include tumorreactive cells (Supplementary Figure S7) [8, 9], these results suggest that the accumulation of tumor-reactive CD8⁺ T cells within tumors increases at a specific range of systemic IL-6 activity but diminishes at higher levels.

Given the strong correlation between pSTAT3^{ex vivo} expression and key elements of anti-tumor immunity, we hypothesized that pSTAT3ex vivo expression could serve as a prognostic marker for cancer immunotherapy. We analyzed pSTAT3^{ex vivo} expression in pre-therapy PBMCs

135). (C) pSTAT3^{ex vivo} expression across different immune cell types within the PBMCs of NSCLC patients (n = 135). (D) Heatmap showing cellular expression profiles of pSTAT3ex vivo in various cancer patients, color-coded from green (low) to red (high). (E) pSTAT3ex vivo + frequency in CD4⁺ naïve T cells (Tn) from healthy donors (n = 35) and NSCLC patients at different TNM stages (n = 25, 8, and 102 for stages I, II, and III&IV, respectively). (F) Receiver operating characteristic curves of patients at different TNM stages and their area under the curve (AUC) values. (G) pSTAT3ex vivo expression dynamics following surgical removal of tumor. Blood samples were collected at the time of surgery, and at two intervals: 2.5-8.0 months (first follow-up) and 9-15 months (second follow-up) post-surgery (n = 32 for each interval). (H-I) Correlation between serum-induced pSTAT3⁺ frequency in CD4⁺ Tn cells and (H) pSTAT3^{ex vivo}+ frequency in CD4⁺ Tn cells of serum donors or (I) IL-6 concentration in the serum (n = 35). The lines represent non-linear regression using the exponential growth curve (H) or Hill equation (I). The Sy.x values calculated with the fitting models are shown. (J) Effect of anti-IL-6R α or anti-IL-6 antibodies on serum-induced pSTAT3 expression. The dashed line represents the value from samples cultured in media instead of serum. (K) Relationship between serum IL-6 concentration and pSTAT3ex vivo+ frequency in CD4+ Tn cells. The line represents non-linear regression using the Hill equation, with the Syx calculated from the fitting model shown. (L) pSTAT3^{ex vivo}+ frequency in CD4⁺ Tn cells (left) and serum IL-6 concentration (right) in three NSCLC patient groups (n = 10, 8, and 17 in pSTAT3^{ex vivo}-lo, pSTAT3^{ex vivo}-int, and pSTAT3^{ex vivo}-hi, respectively). The median for each group and the difference between medians are indicated above. (M) Uniform manifold approximation and projection (UMAP) analysis of CD8+ TILs. Tumor-infiltrating immune cells from 24 NSCLC patients were analyzed by flow cytometry. Molecular expression levels of CD8⁺ TILs were exported into CSV files and integrated into a Seurat object. UMAP was analyzed based on the expression levels of the indicated molecules. A heatmap shows molecular expressions in each cluster, and a bar graph shows the distribution of each cluster across 3 patient groups. (N) Correlation between pSTAT3^{ex vivo}+ frequency in CD4⁺ Tn cells and the frequency of CD103^{hi} (C3-C4) or CD27^{hi}CD103^{lo} (C2) clusters in CD8⁺ TILs (n = 24). (O) Frequency of CD103^{hi} (C3–C4) (left) or CD27^{hi} CD103^{lo} (C2) clusters (right) in CD8⁺ TILs for each patient group (n = 10, 5, and 9 for pSTAT3^{ex vivo}-lo, pSTAT3^{ex vivo}-int, and pSTAT3^{ex vivo}-hi, respectively). (P) Frequency of patients with PR, SD, or PD at 3 months post-therapy in each patient group (n = 20, 24, and 5 in pSTAT3^{ex vivo}-lo, pSTAT3^{ex vivo}-int, and pSTAT3^{ex vivo}-hi, respectively). All bar graphs represent mean values with error bars. Statistical significance was determined using either an unpaired or a paired Student's t-test. Values of *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, ****P < 0.0001 were considered significant. Some of the images are generated by *Biorender.com*. Abbreviations: STAT3, phosphorylated signal transducer and activator of transcription 3; pSTAT3ex vivo, ex vivo phosphorylated STAT3; PBMC, peripheral blood mononuclear cell; NK, natural killer; NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer; SCLC, small cell lung cancer; Tn, naïve T cells; AUC, area under the curve; IL-6, interleukin-6; Sy.x, standard error of estimates; UMAP, uniform manifold approximation and projection; TILs, tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes; PD-1, programmed death-1; PR, partial response; SD, stable disease; PD, progressive disease; TCF1, T cell factor 1, TIM3; T-cell immunoglobulin and mucin domain 3.

▲ CANCER

from 49 NSCLC patients who received anti-PD-1 therapy (\pm chemotherapy) (Supplementary Table S2). The pSTAT3^{*ex vivo*}-hi group showed the worst response (partial response (PR) 20%; Figure 1P), consistent with their highly immunosuppressive TMEs. Notably, the pSTAT3^{*ex vivo*}int group exhibited significantly better outcomes than pSTAT3^{*ex vivo*}-lo (PR 66.7% versus 25%; Figure 1P), suggesting a potential connection with CD103⁺CD8⁺ T cells. Moreover, the biomarker performance of pSTAT3^{*ex vivo*} was significantly greater than tumor PD-L1 expression (Supplementary Figure S8). These findings suggest an unappreciated non-linear relationship between systemic IL-6 activity and anti-PD-1 responsiveness.

Collectively, these findings suggest that systemic IL-6, despite its extremely low concentration (median ~10 pg/mL) [3], can actively induce the STAT3 signaling cascade in vivo and modulate anti-tumor immunity. Our refined methodology enabled quantification of systemic IL-6 activity as pSTAT3^{ex vivo}, which could serve as a biomarker for cancer diagnosis and a predictor of responsiveness to anti-PD-1 therapy. Overall, our study offers new avenues for exploring systemic cytokines in various disease models, as demonstrated in our cancer patient cohort.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Sung-Woo Lee and Jae-Ho Cho administered this project; Sung-Woo Lee, Young Ju Kim, and Jae-Ho Cho conceptualized, designed methodologies, performed formal analysis, curated data, and wrote the original draft; Sung-Woo Lee, In-Jae Oh, and Jae-Ho Cho supervised, acquired funding, reviewed and edited the manuscript; Saei Jeong, Kyung Na Rho, Jeong Eun Noh, Hee-Ok Kim, Hyun-Ju Cho, Yoo Duk Choi, Deok Hwan Yang, Eu Chang Hwang, Woo Kyun Bae, Sook Jung Yun, Ju Sik Yun, Cheol-Kyu Park, and In-Jae Oh curated resources.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Biobank of Chonnam National University, Hwasun Hospital for providing patient biospecimens; and the Korean Red Cross for providing healthy blood samples.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors declare no competing interests.

FUNDING INFORMATION

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

All data are included within the article and its supplemental information.

ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Boards of Chonnam National University Medical School and Hwasun Hospital (CNUHH-2022-021 and CNUHH-2024-034). All cancer patients provided written informed consent. Written informed consent from healthy donors from the Korean Red Cross was formally waived in accordance with the Korean Bioethics and Safety Act.

> Sung-Woo Lee^{1,2,3} Young Ju Kim^{1,2,3,4} Saei Jeong^{1,2,3,4} Kyung Na Rho^{1,2,3,4} Jeong Eun Noh^{1,2,3,4} Hee-Ok Kim⁵ Hyun-Ju Cho⁶ Yoo Duk Choi⁷ Deok Hwan Yang⁶ Eu Chang Hwang⁸ Woo Kyun Bae⁶ Sook Jung Yun⁹ Ju Sik Yun¹⁰ Cheol-Kyu Park⁶ In-Jae Oh⁶ Jae-Ho Cho^{1,2,3,4}

¹Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Chonnam National University Medical School, Hwasunup, Jeollanamdo, Republic of Korea ²Medical Research Center for Combinatorial Tumor Immunotherapy, Chonnam National University Medical School, Hwasunup, Jeollanamdo, Republic of Korea ³National Immunotherapy Innovation Center, Chonnam National University Medical School, Hwasunup, Jeollanamdo, Republic of Korea ⁴BioMedical Sciences Graduate Program, Chonnam National University Medical School, Hwasunup, Jeollanamdo, Republic of Korea ⁵Selecxine Inc., Seoul, Republic of Korea ⁶Department of Internal Medicine, Chonnam National University Medical School, Hwasun Hospital, Hwasunup, Jeollanamdo, Republic of Korea ⁷Department of Pathology, Chonnam National University Medical School, Hwasun Hospital, Hwasunup, Jeollanamdo, Republic of Korea ⁸Department of Urology, Chonnam National University Medical School, Hwasun Hospital, Hwasunup, Jeollanamdo, Republic of Korea ⁹Department of Dermatology, Chonnam National University Medical School, Hwasun Hospital, Hwasunup, Jeollanamdo, Republic of Korea

¹⁰Department of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery, Chonnam National University Medical School, Hwasun Hospital, Hwasunup, Jeollanamdo, Republic of Korea

Correspondence

Sung-Woo Lee, Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Chonnam National University Medical School, Hwasunup, Jeollanamdo 58128, Republic of Korea.

Email: swl526@jnu.ac.kr

In-Jae Oh, Department of Internal Medicine, Chonnam National University Medical School, Hwasunup, Jeollanamdo 58128, Republic of Korea. Email: droij@jnu.ac.kr

Jae-Ho Cho, Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Chonnam National University Medical School, Hwasunup, Jeollanamdo 58128, Republic of Korea.

Email: jh_cho@jnu.ac.kr

Sung-Woo Lee and Young Ju Kim contributed equally to this work.

ORCID

Sung-Woo Lee D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4326-5637

REFERENCES

 Hu Y, Dong Z, Liu K. Unraveling the complexity of STAT3 in cancer: molecular understanding and drug discovery. J Exp Clin Cancer Res. 2024;43(1):23. 2. Lippitz BE. Cytokine patterns in patients with cancer: a systematic review. Lancet Oncol. 2013;14(6):e218-e28.

ANCER

UNICATIONS

- Lippitz BE, Harris RA. Cytokine patterns in cancer patients: A review of the correlation between interleukin 6 and prognosis. Oncoimmunology. 2016;5(5):e1093722.
- 4. Mousset CM, Hobo W, Woestenenk R, Preijers F, Dolstra H, van der Waart AB. Comprehensive Phenotyping of T Cells Using Flow Cytometry. Cytometry Part A. 2019;95(6):647-54.
- Goutelle S, Maurin M, Rougier F, Barbaut X, Bourguignon L, Ducher M, et al. The Hill equation: a review of its capabilities in pharmacological modelling. Fundam Clin Pharmacol. 2008;22(6):633-48.
- Casanovas G, Banerji A, d'Alessio F, Muckenthaler MU, Legewie S. A multi-scale model of hepcidin promoter regulation reveals factors controlling systemic iron homeostasis. PLoS Comput Biol. 2014;10(1):e1003421.
- Rakemann T, Niehof M, Kubicka S, Fischer M, Manns MP, Rose-John S, et al. The designer cytokine hyper-interleukin-6 is a potent activator of STAT3-dependent gene transcription in vivo and in vitro. J Biol Chem. 1999;274(3):1257-66.
- Banchereau R, Chitre AS, Scherl A, Wu TD, Patil NS, de Almeida P, et al. Intratumoral CD103+ CD8+ T cells predict response to PD-L1 blockade. J Immunother Cancer. 2021;9(4):e002231.
- Edwards J, Wilmott JS, Madore J, Gide TN, Quek C, Tasker A, et al. CD103(+) Tumor-Resident CD8(+) T Cells Are Associated with Improved Survival in Immunotherapy-Naïve Melanoma Patients and Expand Significantly During Anti-PD-1 Treatment. Clin Cancer Res. 2018;24(13):3036-45.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.