ORIGINAL ARTICLE





The diagnostic and prognostic values of plasma Epstein-Barr virus DNA for residual cervical lymphadenopathy in nasopharyngeal carcinoma patients: a retrospective study

Sai-Lan Liu^{1,2†}, Xue-Song Sun^{1,2†}, Xiao-Yun Li^{1,2}, Lin-Quan Tang^{1,2}, Qiu-Yan Chen^{1,2}, Huan-Xin Lin^{1,3}, Yu-Jing Liang^{1,2}, Jin-Jie Yan^{1,2}, Chao Lin^{1,2}, Shan-Shan Guo^{1,2}, Li-Ting Liu^{1,2}, Yang Li^{1,2}, Hao-Jun Xie^{1,2}, Qing-Nan Tang^{1,2}, Hu Liang^{1,2}, Ling Guo^{1,2*} and Hai-Qiang Mai^{1,2*}

Abstract

Background: Currently, the diagnosis and treatment of nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NPC) patients with residual cervical lymphadenopathy following radical radiotherapy with or without chemotherapy are challenging. We investigated the prognosis of NPC patients with residual cervical lymphadenopathy and assessed the diagnostic and prognostic values of Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) DNA in these patients.

Methods: This study included 82 NPC patients who were diagnosed with suspected residual cervical lymphadenopathy following completion of antitumor therapy. Their plasma EBV DNA levels were measured using quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) before the initiation of treatment and before neck dissection. Fine needle aspiration cytology (FNAC) was performed in 21 patients. All patients had undergone neck dissection and postoperative pathological examination to identify the nature of residual cervical lymphadenopathy. The overall survival (OS), progression-free survival (PFS), distant metastasis-free survival (DMFS), and locoregional relapse-free survival (LRRFS) were calculated using the Kaplan–Meier method and compared using the log-rank test. The Cox proportional hazards model was used to calculate hazard ratios (HRs) with 95% confidence intervals (Cls). Multivariable analysis was used to estimate the effect of potential prognostic factors on survival.

Results: Following a median follow-up of 52.6 months, compared with patients with negative postoperative pathological findings for residual cervical lymphadenopathy, the patients with positive findings had a significantly lower 3-year PFS rate (49.9% vs. 83.3%, P = 0.008). Among NPC patients with residual cervical lymphadenopathy, the patients with preoperative plasma EBV DNA > 0 copy/mL had a lower 3-year PFS rate than did those with no detectable EBV DNA (43.7% vs. 61.1%, P = 0.031). In addition, combining FNAC with preoperative EBV DNA detection improved the diagnostic sensitivity. Multivariable analysis demonstrated that residual cervical lymphadenopathy with positive postoperative pathological result was an independent prognostic factor for PFS and that detectable preoperative plasma EBV DNA was an independent prognostic factor for OS.

Conclusions: Using FNAC combined with preoperative EBV DNA detection improves the sensitivity in diagnosing NPC with residual cervical lymphadenopathy. Compared with patients with undetectable EBV DNA, patients with detectable preoperative plasma EBV DNA have worse prognosis and may require a more aggressive treatment strategy.

Cancer Center, Guangzhou 510060, Guangdong, P. R. China

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2019. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/ publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

^{*}Correspondence: guoling@sysucc.org.cn; maihq@sysucc.org.cn

¹Sai-Lan Liu and Xue-Song Sun contributed equally to this article ² Department of Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma, Sun Yat-sen University

Keywords: Nasopharyngeal carcinoma, Residual cervical lymphadenopathy, Prognosis, Epstein-Barr virus, Fine needle aspiration cytology, Survival

Background

Nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NPC) differs from malignant tumors arising from other head and neck mucosal sites in epidemiology, pathological types, and treatment [1]. NPC has a distinct ethnic and geographical distribution in Guangdong, South China, where environmental factors, genetic predisposition, and Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) infection play important roles in its pathogenesis. Radiotherapy is the primary treatment of NPC. Several prospective randomized trials [2-5] and meta-analyses [6-8] have demonstrated that concurrent chemoradiotherapy (CCRT) with or without adjuvant chemotherapy (AC) is superior to radiotherapy alone for treating NPC. Currently, intensity-modulated radiotherapy (IMRT) is the preferred irradiation technique for NPC. Although it provides excellent locoregional control [9], a small proportion of patients have residual cervical lymphadenopathy following radical radiotherapy with or without chemotherapy [10]. The treatment of these patients is challenging. According to our previous study [11], approximately 3% of patients had residual cervical lymphadenopathy following IMRT, which is consistent with another report [12]. The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) guidelines advocate neck dissection for these patients [13], with well-proven efficacy and safety [14-18]. This group of patients faces a clinical dilemma. Because lymphadenopathy may harbor disease or merely appear as post-treatment necrosis or hyaline fibrosis without viable tumor cells [14–16, 19], it may be difficult to define the nature of the cervical masses due to post-irradiation changes in neck soft tissues [15, 18, 19]. Furthermore, since most studies have focused on the efficacy and safety of neck dissection in this group of patients, there is no commonly accepted method for post-treatment determination of malignancy.

The plasma EBV DNA level has been the most effective predictive biomarker in guiding the treatment and predicting the prognosis of NPC [20]. NPC patients with high levels of EBV DNA before treatment have a high risk of disease recurrence and distant metastasis [21, 22]. However, there is a paucity of data addressing the efficacy of plasma EBV DNA level in determining the diagnosis and prognosis of NPC patients with residual cervical lymphadenopathy.

In this study, we reviewed the clinical charts of NPC patients who were diagnosed with suspected residual cervical lymphadenopathy following radical definitive radiotherapy to assess the diagnostic and prognostic values of plasma EBV DNA level. Furthermore, we analyzed the prognosis of these patients based on preoperative plasma EBV DNA levels.

Patients and methods

Design, setting, and participants

For this retrospective study, we collected data from NPC patients who had been diagnosed with suspected residual cervical lymphadenopathy following completion of radical radiotherapy with or without chemotherapy. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center. Patients were eligible for this study if they fulfilled all of the following criteria: (1) newly diagnosed NPC without metastasis; (2) biopsy-proven World Health Organization type II/III NPC [23]; (3) no history of previous antitumor therapy; (4) completion of radical radiotherapy with or without chemotherapy; (5) lymph nodes that persisted for about 3 months after the completion of antitumor therapy; (6) no local tumor residue or distant metastasis detected before neck dissection; (7) neck dissection at the Department of Head and Neck Surgery; and (8) postoperative pathological examination at Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center between January 2006 and December 2014. NPC patients with neck recurrence (i.e., reappearance of lymphadenopathy after complete regression of initial lymphadenopathy) [17] or residual cervical lymphadenopathy patients who underwent chemotherapy or salvage re-irradiation alone were excluded. Clinical, pathological, and radiological data of eligible patients were reviewed and reclassified. All patients were restaged according to the 7th edition of American Joint Committee on Cancer/Union for International Cancer Control (AJCC/UICC) staging system.

Quantification of plasma EBV DNA levels

The plasma EBV DNA levels of patients were measured using quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) before the initiation of treatment and before neck dissection as described in a previous study [24]. The real-time qPCR system was developed at the *Bam*HI-W region. The system consisted of the amplification primers W-44F (5'-AGTCTCTGCCTCCAGGCA-3') and W-119R (5'-ACAGAGGGCCTGTCCACCG-3') and the dual-labeled fluorescent probe W-67T (5'-[FAM]CACTGTCTGTAA AGTCCAGCCTCC[TAMRA]-3'). The β -actin gene was used as a loading control, and the primers 5'-ACA GGCACCAGGGCGTGATGG-3' (forward) and 5'-CTC CATGTCGTCCCAGTTGGT-3' (reverse) and the duallabeled fluorescent probe sequence 5'-[FAM]CATCCT CACCCTGAAGTACCCCATC[TAMRA]-3' were used.

The cutoff value of plasma EBV DNA level before neck dissection was based on a detectable/undetectable status (0 copy/mL), whereas the cutoff value before the initiation of treatment was as previously established (4000 copies/mL) [21, 25]. No attempt was made to perform repeated analyses using alternative cutoffs.

Clinical assessment

All patients were evaluated with a complete physical examination, fiberoptic nasopharyngoscopy, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) or computed tomography (CT) of the head and neck, electrocardiography, positron emission tomography/computed tomography (PET/CT) or chest radiography plus abdominal ultrasonography and bone scan by emission computed tomography, complete blood count with differential counts, biochemical profile, and plasma EBV DNA detection before treatment.

All patients diagnosed with NPC were treated with conventional radiotherapy or IMRT. Whether chemotherapy was administered depended on patient's age and the stage of disease. All patients with suspected residual cervical lymphadenopathy underwent a preoperative EBV DNA detection and neck dissection. In clinical practice, the gold standard method for determining the nature of residual cervical lymphadenopathy of NPC patients is pathological examination of neck dissection specimens. Therefore, we compared the sensitivity and specificity of fine needle aspiration cytology (FNAC) and preoperative plasma EBV DNA detection with postoperative pathological examination.

Follow-up

Patients were assessed at the time of treatment completion, and then at least every 3 months over the next 3 years and at least every 6 months thereafter. The evaluation of patients at follow-up included a clinical examination, nasopharyngeal endoscopy, MRI of the nasopharynx and neck area, chest radiography, and abdominal ultrasonography. Patients' statuses were determined by reviewing their medical records and follow-up findings. The progression-free survival (PFS) was the primary endpoint of this study; it was defined as the interval between the date of diagnosis and disease progression or death from any cause. The secondary endpoints were overall survival (OS), local relapse-free survival (LRFS), regional relapse-free survival (RRFS), locoregional relapse-free survival (LRRFS), and distant metastasis-free survival (DMFS), which were defined as the interval between diagnosis and death from any cause or the first event.

Statistical analysis

Categorical variables were assessed using Fisher's exact test and the Chi square test. Kaplan–Meier survival curves were used to analyze the time-to-event endpoints, and the log-rank test was used to compare the differences between groups. The hazard ratios (HRs) were calculated with the Cox proportional hazards model. Multivariable analyses were performed using the Cox proportional hazards model to test the independent statistical significance of treatment intervention. Potentially important prognostic factors considered in the modeling process included pretreatment plasma EBV DNA level, preoperative plasma EBV DNA level, and postoperative pathology. Analyses were performed using SPSS 22.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). All statistical tests were two-sided, and P < 0.05 indicates statistical significance.

Results

Patient characteristics

Between January 2006 and December 2014, 292 NPC patients underwent neck dissection at the Department of Head and Neck Surgery at Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center. Of these patients, 91 were suspected of having residual cervical lymphadenopathy. Clinical data of initial treatment were not available for 9 patients who had completed radical radiotherapy at other hospitals; these patients were therefore excluded. The remaining 82 patients met all the eligibility criteria and were selected for the analysis: 68 with tumor cells and 14 without tumor cells in dissected cervical lymph nodes as detected with postoperative pathological examination. Table 1 summarizes the characteristics of both groups. The median patient age was 43.5 (21–77) years in 68 patients with tumor cells and 46 (25-61) years in 14 patients without tumor cells in dissected cervical lymph nodes. The Chi square test revealed that female patients (P < 0.001) and patients with detectable EBV DNA before surgery (P=0.045) were more likely to have tumor cells in dissected cervical lymph nodes.

Clinical analyses of plasma EBV DNA levels in NPC patients

Using real-time qPCR, we detected EBV DNA levels in plasma samples from the patients before the initiation of treatment and before neck dissection. The median plasma EBV DNA level before the initiation of treatment was 4160 copies/mL (interquartile range, 2652–44,400 copies/mL) for all 82 NPC patients (Fig. 1a) and 117 copies/mL (interquartile range, 0–6260 copies/mL) for the 68 patients with tumor cells in dissected cervical lymph nodes (Fig. 1b). In addition, all 14 patients without tumor cells in dissected cervical lymph nodes had undetectable plasma EBV DNA levels before neck dissection (Fig. 1c).

Table 1 Characteristics of 82 NPC patients with residualcervical lymphadenopathy

Characteristic	Tumor cel in cervica nodes [ca	llymph	P value
	Presence	Absence	
Total	68	14	
Sex			0.045 [¶]
Male	52 (76.5)	7 (50.0)	
Female	16 (23.5)	7 (50.0)	
Pathological type			0.133 ^{&}
WHO type II	2 (2.9)	2 (14.3)	
WHO type III	66 (97.1)	12 (85.7)	
T stage ^a			0.334#
T1	0 (0)	1 (7.1)	
T2	24 (35.3)	4 (28.6)	
T3	35 (51.5)	7 (50.0)	
T4	9 (13.2)	2 (14.3)	
N stage ^a	> (13.2)	2 (11.3)	0.974#
N1	13 (19.1)	3 (21.4)	0.97 1
N2	34 (50.0)	7 (50.0)	
N3	21 (30.9)	4 (28.6)	
	21 (30.9)	4 (20.0)	0.179 [¶]
Clinical stage ^a	7 (10 2)	4 (20 C)	0.179*
II	7 (10.3)	4 (28.6)	
 (34 (50.0)	5 (35.7)	
	27 (39.7)	5 (35.7)	0.050¶
EBV DNA before treatment (copies/mL)			0.252¶
≤4000	23 (33.8)	7 (50.0)	
>4000	45 (66.2)	7 (50.0)	
EBV DNA before surgery (copy/mL) < 0.00	1#		
0	32 (47.1)	14 (100)	
>0	36 (52.9)	0 (0.0)	
VCA-IgA			0.988 [¶]
< 1:320	29 (42.6)	6 (42.9)	
≥ 1:320	39 (57.4)	8 (57.1)	
EA-IgA			0.196 [¶]
< 1:20	27 (39.7)	3 (21.4)	
≥ 1:20	41 (60.3)	11 (78.6)	
Size of lymph node 0.747 [¶]			
< 3 cm	42 (61.8)	8 (57.1)	
≥ 3 cm	26 (38.2)	6 (42.9)	
Lymph node invasion 1.000 [#]			
Yes	4 (5.9)	0 (0.0)	
No	64 (94.1)	14 (100)	
Lymph node necrosis 0.865 [¶]	····/	()	
Yes	16 (23.5)	3 (21.4)	
No	52 (76.5)	11 (78.6)	
Treatment for cervical lymphadenopathy	52 (70.5)	(/0.0)	0.285#
Surgery alone	54 (79.4)	14 (100)	0.200
Surgery + chemotherapy	9 (13.2)	0 (0.0)	
• /			
Surgery + radiotherapy	5 (7.5)	0 (0.0)	0.957#
Treatment for NPC			0.937

Table 1 (continued)

Characteristic	Tumor cel in cervical nodes [ca	l lymph	P value
	Presence	Absence	
Radiotherapy alone	4 (5.9)	1 (7.15)	
CCRT	22 (32.4)	5 (35.7)	
NAC + CCRT	38 (55.9)	7 (50.0)	
CCRT + AC	4 (5.9)	1 (7.15)	
Irradiation technique			1.000&
2D-RT	7 (10.3)	1 (7.1)	
IMRT	61 (89.7)	13 (92.9)	

NPC nasopharyngeal carcinoma, WHO World Health Organization, EBV Epstein-Barr virus, VCA viral capsid antigen, IgA immunoglobulin A, EA early antigen, 2D-RT two-dimensional radiotherapy, IMRT intensity-modulated radiotherapy, CCRT concurrent chemoradiotherapy, NAC neoadjuvant chemotherapy, AC adjuvant chemotherapy

P values were calculated with [¶]the Chi square test, [&]Continuity Correlation, and [#]the Fisher's exact test, respectively

^a The 7th edition of American Joint Committee on Cancer/Union for International Cancer Control (AJCC/UICC) staging system was used

The median plasma EBV DNA level was not associated with clinical stage (Fig. 1d), T stage (Fig. 1e), and N stage (Fig. 1f).

The sensitivity and specificity of preoperative EBV DNA detection and FNAC of cervical lymph nodes in identifying residual cervical lymphadenopathy

The preoperative plasma EBV DNA level was detectable in 36 patients and undetectable in 46 patients. Due to poor patient compliance, FNAC of cervical lymph nodes was only performed in 21 patients, all of whom underwent intraoperative frozen section examination. FNAC results showed that 16 (76.1%) were positive and 5 (23.9%) were negative for malignant cells in cervical lymph nodes. Of the 5 patients with negative FNAC results, 3 had positive postoperative pathological findings for residual cervical lymphadenopathy (1 had detectable preoperative plasma EBV DNA levels and 2 had undetectable preoperative plasma EBV DNA levels). Thus, the sensitivity and specificity of FNAC in identifying residual cervical lymphadenopathy were 84.2% (16/19) and 100% (2/2), whereas those of preoperative EBV DNA detection were 52.9% (36/68) and 100% (14/14). The sensitivity of FNAC combined with preoperative EBV DNA detection increased to 89.5% (17/19) (Table 2).

Survival analysis based on postoperative pathological results

The median follow-up period for the entire patient cohort was 52.6 (interquartile range, 3.6–116.5) months. During the follow-up period, 41 of the 82 patients

experienced disease progression: 39 (95.1%) with positive postoperative pathological results and 2 (4.9%) with negative postoperative pathological results. Twenty (24.4%) patients died, and all of them had positive postoperative pathological findings. However, one of the patients died in a car accident, and 19 (95.0%) of the deaths were reported as being disease-related. Kaplan–Meier survival analysis revealed that a positive postoperative pathological finding was significantly associated with shorter PFS (P=0.008), OS (P=0.014), LRRFS (P=0.005), and RRFS (P=0.014), but not with DMFS (P=0.073) and LRFS (P=0.102) (Table 3, Fig. 2).

Survival analysis based on preoperative plasma EBV DNA level

Given that plasma EBV DNA is the most effective predictive biomarker in guiding the treatment and predicting the prognosis of NPC, we analyzed the efficacy of plasma EBV DNA detection in identifying residual cervical lymphadenopathy in NPC patients. Compared with the 46 patients without detectable preoperative plasma EBV DNA, the 36 patients with detectable preoperative plasma EBV DNA demonstrated significantly lower 3-year PFS, OS, LRRFS, LRFS, and RRFS rates (all P < 0.05), but did not demonstrate significantly lower 3-year DMFS rate (Table 3). The same associations between preoperative plasma EBV DNA and survival were observed in the patients with residual cervical lymphadenopathy (Fig. 3).

Prognostic factors for NPC patients with residual cervical lymphadenopathy

The multivariate analysis showed that postoperative pathological results remained an independent predictor of short PFS (HR = 5.209, 95% CI 1.185-22.900; P=0.029), DMFS (HR = 9.265, 95% CI 1.035-82.935; P=0.047), and LRFFS (HR = 10.175, 95% CI 1.273-81.320; P=0.029), and the presence of preoperative EBV DNA remained an independent predictor of short OS (HR=5.535, 95% CI 1.677-18.268; P=0.005) and RRFS (HR=2.804, 95% CI 1.018–7.727; P=0.046) for the 82 patients (Table 4). To further assess the prognostic value of preoperative EBV DNA, the patients were stratified into subgroups based on postoperative pathological results. Multivariate analysis revealed that the presence of preoperative plasma EBV DNA remained an independent predictor of short OS in patients with positive postoperative pathological results (HR = 3.501, 95% CI 1.075-11.398; P = 0.037) (Table 5). These results indicate that preoperative plasma EBV DNA represents a valuable independent



Examination	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	PPV (%)	NPV (%)
FNAC	84.2	100.0	100.0	40.0
EBV DNA detection	52.9	100.0	100.0	30.4
FNAC + EBV DNA detection	89.5	100.0	100.0	40.0

Table 2 The sensitivity, specificity, PPV, and NPV of preoperative examinations in identifying residual cervical lymphadenopathy in patients with NPC

PPV positive predictive value, NPV negative predictive value, NPC nasopharyngeal carcinoma, FNAC fine needle aspiration cytology, EBV Epstein-Barr virus

Table 3	Survival of the 82 NPC	patients with residua	l cervical lym	phadenopathy

Endpoint	Tumor cells in cer	vical lymph nodes	P value	Preoperative plas	ma EBV DNA	P value
	Presence	Absence		Presence	Absence	
Total (cases)	68	14		36	46	
PFS						
Failures [cases (%)]	39 (57.4)	2 (14.3)	0.008	22 (61.1)	19 (41.3)	0.031
3-year rate [% (95% Cl)]	49.9 (37.6–62.2)	83.3 (62.1–100)		43.7 (26.1–61.3)	61.1 (46.6–75.6)	
OS						
Deaths [cases (%)]	21 (30.9)	0 (0.0)	0.014	13 (36.1)	8 (17.4)	0.020
3-year rate [% (95% Cl)]	83.2 (73.6–92.8)	100		79.2 (64.3–94.1)	90.7 (82.1–99.3)	
DMFS						
Failures [cases (%)]	19 (27.9)	1 (7.1)	0.073	10 (27.8)	10 (21.7)	0.230
3-year rate [% (95% Cl)]	79.6 (69.2–90.0)	91.7 (76.0–100)		80.2 (65.9–94.5)	85.9 (75.3–96.5)	
LRRFS						
Failures [cases (%)]	33 (48.5)	1 (7.1)	0.005	20 (55.6)	14 (30.4)	0.009
3-year rate [% (95% Cl)]	53.9 (41.2–66.6)	91.7 (76.0–100)		46.4 (28.4–64.4)	68.2 (53.7–82.7)	
LRFS						
Failures [cases (%)]	19 (27.9)	1 (7.1)	0.102	13 (36.1)	7 (15.2)	0.017
3-year rate [% (95% Cl)]	71.0 (59.6-82.4)	91.7 (76.0–100)		61.1 (44.4–77.8)	84.9 (73.7–96.1)	
RRFS						
Failures [cases (%)]	21 (30.9)	0 (0.0)	0.014	13 (36.1)	8 (17.4)	0.027
3-year rate [% (95% Cl)]	73.0 (61.6-84.4)	100		69.8 (52.9–86.7)	80.7 (68.5–92.9)	

NPC nasopharyngeal carcinoma, PFS progression-free survival, OS overall survival, DMFS distant metastasis-free survival, LRRFS locoregional relapse-free survival, LRFS local relapse-free survival, CI confidence interval

P values were calculated with the unadjusted log-rank test

prognostic factor for NPC patients with residual cervical lymphadenopathy.

Discussion

In the present study, 68 (82.9%) of the 82 NPC patients suspected of having residual cervical lymphadenopathy exhibited positive postoperative pathological results. Additionally, a positive postoperative pathological result was significantly associated with low 3-year PFS, OS, LRRFS, and RRFS rates and was confirmed as an independent prognostic factor for PFS. Furthermore, our study showed that using FNAC combined with preoperative EBV DNA detection improved the sensitivity in diagnosing NPC with residual cervical lymphadenopathy. Persistent nodal disease in NPC patients after definitive radiotherapy presents a diagnostic and treatment challenge in clinical practice. In these patients, tumor cells are often not observed in the neck dissection specimens. Previous studies have demonstrated that 58.3%–88.2% of NPC patients presenting symptoms of persistent/recurrent neck mass had cervical malignancies [26, 27]. It is thus imperative to define the nature of the presumed persistent neck mass before surgery to avoid unnecessary treatment.

Currently, there is no well-accepted method for preoperative determination of the presence of malignancy in nodal diseases, although it was reported that FNAC was helpful in differentiating malignant lymphadenopathy from benign inflammatory nodes [28, 29]. In



clinical practice, the confirmation of cervical malignancy may still require some surgical intervention. we One study has shown that the sensitivity and specificity siti

of FNAC in identifying malignant lymphadenopathy were 25% and 100% [26]. In the present study, the sensitivity and specificity of FNAC in identifying cervical



lymphadenopathy were 84.2% and 100%. Furthermore, we demonstrated that the sensitivity and specificity of preoperative EBV DNA detection in identifying cervical lymphadenopathy were 52.9% and 100%, and those

of FNAC combined with preoperative EBV-DNA detection were 89.5% and 100%. The diagnostic efficacy of FNAC for residual cervical lymphadenopathy in NPC patients after radiotherapy is significantly reduced. For

Variable	PFS		SO		DMFS	LRRFS	LRFS	RRFS
	HR (95% CI)	<i>P</i> value	HR (95% CI)	<i>P</i> value	HR (95% CI) P value	HR (95% CI) P value	HR (95% CI) P value	e HR (95% CI) P value
Age	0.827 (0.408–1.675) 0.598	0.598	1.604 (0.625–4.113) 0.326	0.326	0.440 (0.148–1.308) 0.140	0.728 (0.331–1.600) 0.429	0.619 (0.224–1.715) 0.357	1.328 (0.507–3.479) 0.564
Sex	0.699 (0.336–1.454) 0.338	0.338	0.503 (0.164–1.542) 0.230	0.230	0.467 (0.148–1.472) 0.194	0.533 (0.237–1.197) 0.127	0.531 (0.189–1.492) 0.230	0.585 (0.211–1.619) 0.302
T stage	2.739 (1.173–6.397) 0.020	0.020	4.114 (1.140–14.847) 0.031	0.031	10.722 (2.408–47.740) 0.002	1.536 (0.611–3.861) 0.361	1.433 (0.437–4.702) 0.553	2.606 (0.803–8.465) 0.111
N stage	2.735 (0.761–9.837)	0.123	2.530 (0.577–11.090) 0.218	0.218	9.408 (1.465–60.435) 0.018	1.475 (0.367–5.927) 0.583	2.379 (0.359–15.749) 0.369	2.085 (0.416–10.446) 0.372
Clinical stage	0.516 (0.214–1.246) 0.142	0.142	1.309 (0.421–4.071) 0.642	0.642	0.384 (0.095–1.549) 0.179	0.637 (0.266–1.530) 0.313	0.408 (0.120–1.392) 0.152	0.740 (0.244–2.242) 0.594
EBV DNA before first treatment	1.360 (0.658–2.811) 0.407	0.407	0.777 (0.276–2.184) 0.632	0.632	1.639 (0.548–4.901) 0.377	1.912 (0.843–4338) 0.121	1.000 (0.357–2.798) 0.999	3.576 (1.010–12.658) 0.048
Preoperative EBV DNA	Preoperative EBV DNA 1.546 (0.758–3.151) 0.231	0.231	5.535 (1.677-18.268) 0.005	0.005	1.898 (0.655–5.499) 0.238	1.571 (0.733–3.365) 0.245	2.622 (0.944–7.286) 0.064	2.804 (1.018-7.727) 0.046
Postoperative patho- logical result	5.209 (1.185–22.900) 0.029	0.029		I	9.265 (1.035–82.935) 0.047	10.175 (1.273–81.320) 0.029	4.158 (0.457–37.820) 0.206	I
Treatment for NPC	1.282 (0.780–2.108) 0.327	0.327	1.702 (0.711–4.070) 0.232	0.232	2.619 (0.929–7.383) 0.069	1.141 (0.672–1.938) 0.626	0.865 (0.476–1.573) 0.635	2.398 (0.971–5.922) 0.058
NPC nasopharyngeal	NPC nasopharyngeal carcinoma, PFS progressi unvival HB hazard ratio // confidence interval	ssion-free	survival, OS overall survi	val, DMFS	distant metastasis-free survival,	LRRFS locoregional relapse-free s	urvival, <i>LRFS</i> local relapse-free	NPC nasopharyngeal carcinoma, PFS progression-free survival, OS overall survival, DMFS distant metastasis-free survival, LRFS locoregional relapse-free survival, LRFS local relapse-free survival, RRFS regional relapse-free

Table 4 Multivariable analysis of prognostic factors of all 82 NPC patients with residual cervical lymphadenopathy

survival, HR hazard ratio, Cl confidence interval

All variables were transformed into categorical variables. HRs were calculated for age (≥ 45 vs. < 45 years), sex (male vs. female), T stage (3-4 vs. 1–2), N stage (3 vs. 1–2), clinical stage (1V vs. III vs. II), EBV DNA before first treatment (>4000 vs. ≤ 4000 vs. ≤ 4000 copies/mL), preoperative EBV DNA (>0 vs. 0 copy/mL), postoperative pathology (positive vs. negative), and treatment for NPC (RT vs. CGRT vs. CRT vs. CGRT vs. CGRT + AC)

Variable	PFS	OS	DMFS	LRRFS	LRFS	RRFS
	HR (95% CI) P value	HR (95% Cl) P value	HR (95% Cl) P value	HR (95% CI) P value	HR (95% CI)	P value HR (95% Cl) P value
Age	0.948 (0.458–1.962) 0.885	1.365 (0.501–3.719) 0.543	0.505 (0.164–1.555) 0.234	0.750 (0.336–1.676) 0.483	0.662 (0.231–1.895) 0.442	1.097 (0.412–2.917) 0.853
Sex	0.866 (0.401–1.871) 0.715	0.506 (0.160–1.600) 0.246	0.652 (0.192–2.208) 0.492	0.568 (0.248–1.302) 0.182	0.595 (0.202–1.752) 0.346	0.489 (0.176–1.360) 0.171
T stage	2.733 (1.139–6.557) 0.024	4.523 (1.240–16.501) 0.022	11.418 (2.406–54.182) 0.002	1.616 (0.633–4.126) 0.315	1.473 (0.441–4.923) 0.529	2.985 (0.900–9.894) 0.074
N stage	2.070 (0.555–7.726) 0.279	2.852 (0.564–14.409) 0.205	8.385 (1.213-57.978) 0.031	1.380 (0.339–5.617) 0.653	2.054 (0.303–13.919) 0.461	2.979 (0.528–16.798) 0.216
Clinical stage	0.593 (0.240–1.463) 0.256	1.183 (0.369–3.790) 0.777	0.361 (0.086–1.515) 0.164	0.696 (0.285–1.697) 0.425	0.467 (0.132–1.651) 0.237	0.621 (0.192–2.008) 0.426
EBV DNA before first treatment	1.166 (0.553–2.459) 0.687	0.812 (0.287–2.295) 0.695	1.296 (0.287–0.423) 0.650	1.762 (0.770–4.033) 0.180	0.868 (0.300–2.510) 0.793	3.631 (1.013–13.019) 0.048
Preoperative EBV DNA	1.589 (0.768–3.290) 0.212	3.501 (1.075–11.398) 0.037	2.051 (0.688–6.119) 0.197	1.575 (0.732–3.389) 0.246	2.588 (0.926–7.234) 0.070	1.827 (0.684–4.882) 0.229
Treatment for NPC	1.341 (0.800–2.247) 0.266	1.621 (0.684–3.845) 0.273	3.367 (1.081–10.489) 0.036	1.120 (0.657–1.910) 0.677	0.840 (0.461–1.531) 0.569	2.184 (0.874–5.459) 0.095
NPC nasopharyngea	<i>NPC</i> nasopharyngeal carcinoma, <i>PFS</i> progression-free	survival, OS overall survival, DMF5	s distant metastasis-free survival,	LRRFS locoregional relapse-fre	MPC nasopharyngeal carcinoma, PFS progression-free survival, OS overall survival, DMFS distant metastasis-free survival, LRFS locoregional relapse-free survival, LRFS local relapse-free survival, RRFS regional relapse-free	rvival, <i>RRFS</i> regional relapse-free

Table 5 Multivariable analysis of prognostic factors of 68 NPC patients with positive postoperative pathological results

survival, HR hazard ratio, Cl confidence interval

All variables were transformed into categorical variables. HRs were calculated for age (\geq 45 vs. < 45 years), sex (male vs. female), T stage (3-4 vs. 1–2), clinical stage (1 vs. III vs. II), EBV DNA before first treatment (>4000 vs. \leq 4000 vs. \leq 4000 copies/mL), preoperative EBV DNA (>0 vs. 0 copy/mL), and treatment for NPC (RT vs. CCRT vs. CACT vs. CCRT vs. CCRT + AC)

early and correct diagnosis, FNAC combined with preoperative EBV DNA detection should be adopted. The present findings indicate that if EBV DNA is detectable before surgery, the patients are more likely to have a positive postoperative pathological result.

NPC patients with residual cervical lymphadenopathy presented with a higher degree of heterogeneity than did patients without residual cervical lymphadenopathy. The prognostic significance of TNM classification, which only reflects anatomical information, is not optimal for NPC patients with residual cervical lymphadenopathy. Multiple studies have demonstrated that EBV DNA serves as a reliable biomarker in the detection, monitoring, and prognostic prediction for NPC [21, 22, 25, 30-37]. Moreover, the presence of EBV DNA after radiotherapy is the most important independent prognostic marker in predicting survival and outcome; the prognosis of patients with detectable EBV DNA after radiotherapy was significantly worse than that of those with undetectable EBV DNA [38]. Using a cutoff>or=0 copy/mL, we report that the presence of preoperative EBV DNA was associated with low 3-year OS, PFS, LRRFS, LRFS, and RRFS rates. Furthermore, detectable preoperative EBV DNA was confirmed as an independent prognostic factor for OS and PFS in both the entire cohort and the patients with positive postoperative pathological results. Although the DMFS rates were not significantly different between patients with detectable and undetectable preoperative plasma EBV DNA, the survival curves showed that the patients with detectable preoperative plasma EBV DNA had a higher risk of distant metastasis. In the present study, all 36 NPC patients with residual cervical lymphadenopathy who had detectable preoperative EBV DNA underwent neck dissection, but still had poor prognosis. These findings suggest that neck dissection alone is not sufficient for these high-risk patients and that these patients may require a more aggressive treatment strategy.

For high-risk NPC patients, a more intensive treatment regimen such as AC can provide an additional survival benefit over neck dissection alone. In addition, AC can kill tumor cells that might have remained following macroscopic tumor removal and eliminate micrometastasis. Even though previous meta-analyses revealed that there was no benefit of using AC for NPC patients [7, 39] and all previous trials on AC had failed, Twu et al. [40] demonstrated that AC reduced distant failure and prolonged OS in NPC patients with persistently detectable EBV DNA after curative radiotherapy. In fact, the efficacy of AC is being addressed in ongoing trials which target patients with residual post-therapy EBV DNA. For these reasons, a prospective randomized trial comparing neck dissection combined with AC to neck dissection alone should be initiated to assess whether AC can effectively treat NPC patients with residual cervical lymphadenopathy who have detectable preoperative EBV DNA levels. The current NCCN guidelines recommend the PF regimen (cisplatin + 5-fluorouracil) as the standard AC regimen for advanced NPC according to the results of an intergroup study [3]. However, Zhang et al. [41] have shown that the GP regimen (gemcitabine + cisplatin) prolonged PFS in patients with recurrent or metastatic NPC, which established the GP regimen as the standard first-line treatment for this population. Furthermore, prospective randomized trials are strongly recommended to investigate the most effective AC regimen (PF vs. GP) for high-risk NPC patients.

The present study has several limitations. First, there is inevitable selection bias caused by its retrospective nature. Prospective studies are required to validate our results. Second, the sample size is small due to the rarity of these cases. Third, this was a single-center analysis from a high-prevalence district. A multi-center study is needed to fully evaluate the diagnostic and prognostic values of preoperative plasma EBV DNA detection in NPC patients with residual cervical lymphadenopathy.

Conclusions

Using FNAC combined with preoperative EBV DNA detection could improve the sensitivity in identifying residual cervical lymphadenopathy in NPC patients. Compared with patients with undetectable EBV DNA, patients with detectable preoperative plasma EBV DNA may have worse prognosis. These patients require a more aggressive treatment strategy, and future trials should consider preoperative EBV DNA levels as a stratification factor and investigate the optimal regimen for the target population.

Abbreviations

NPC: nasopharyngeal carcinoma; PFS: progression-free survival; OS: overall survival; DMFS: distant metastasis-free survival; LRRFS: locoregional relapse-free survival; LRFS: local relapse-free survival; RRFS: regional relapse-free survival; HR: hazard ratio; CI: confidence interval; CCRT: concurrent chemoradio-therapy; 2D-RT: two-dimensional radiotherapy; IMRT: intensity-modulated radiotherapy; NCCN: National Comprehensive Cancer Network; MRI: magnetic resonance imaging; EBV: Epstein-Barr virus; WHO: World Health Organization; NAC: neoadjuvant chemotherapy; AC: adjuvant chemotherapy; FNAC: fine needle aspiration cytology; VCA: viral capsid antigen; IgA: immunoglobulin A; EA: early antigen.

Authors' contributions

Study concepts: LG, HQM. Study design: SLL, LQT. Data acquisition: SLL, LQT, XSS, XYL, YJL, JJY, CL, SSG, LTL, YL, HJX, QNT, HL. Quality control of data and algorithms: SLL, LQT. Data analysis and interpretation: SLL, LQT. Statistical analysis: SLL, XSS, XYL, LQT. Manuscript preparation: SLL, XSS, XYL, LQT, QYC, HXL. Manuscript editing: SLL, XSS, LQT. Manuscript review: LG, HQM. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹ State Key Laboratory of Oncology in South China, Collaborative Innovation Center for Cancer Medicine, Guangdong Key Laboratory of Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma Diagnosis and Therapy, Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center, Guangzhou 510060, Guangdong, P. R. China. ² Department of Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma, Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center, Guangzhou 510060, Guangdong, P. R. China. ³ Department of Radiation Oncology, Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center, Guangzhou 510060, Guangdong, P. R. China.

Acknowledgements

We thank all the patients who participated in this study.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Availability of data and materials

The key raw data have been deposited in Research Data Deposit (http://www. researchdata.org.cn), with the approval number of RDDA2018000806).

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center. Each patient signed an informed consent to allow the use of their data for researches.

Funding

This study was supported by grants from the National Key R&D Program of China (2016YFC0902003, 2017YFC1309003, 2017YFC0908500), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 81425018, No. 81672868, No. 81602371, No. 81572848, No. 81772877, No. 81372814, No. 81773103), the Sun Yat-sen University Clinical Research 5010 Program, the Sci-Tech Project Foundation of Guangzhou City (201707020039), the National Key Basic Research Program of China (No. 2013CB910304), the Special Support Plan of Guangdong Province (No. 2014TX01R145), the Sci-Tech Project Foundation of Guangdong Province (No. 2014A020212103, No. 2012B031800255, No. 2014A020212528), Guangzhou Science and Technology Planning Project China (No. 2014J4100181), the Health & Medical Collaborative Innovation Project of Guangzhou City (No. 201400000001), the National Science & Technology Pillar Program during the Twelfth Five-year Plan Period (No. 2014BAI09B10), the PhD Start-up Fund of Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province, China (2016A030310221), the Cultivation Foundation for Junior Teachers of Sun Yat-sen University (16ykpy28), and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities.

Received: 3 April 2018 Accepted: 19 March 2019 Published online: 29 March 2019

References

- 1. Yu MC, Yuan JM. Epidemiology of nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Semin Cancer Biol. 2002;12(6):421–9.
- Chen QY, Wen YF, Guo L, Liu H, Huang PY, Mo HY, et al. Concurrent chemoradiotherapy vs radiotherapy alone in stage II nasopharyngeal carcinoma: phase III randomized trial. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2011;103(23):1761– 70. https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/djr432.
- Al-Sarraf M, LeBlanc M, Giri PG, Fu KK, Cooper J, Vuong T, et al. Chemoradiotherapy versus radiotherapy in patients with advanced nasopharyngeal cancer: phase III randomized Intergroup study 0099. J Clin Oncol. 1998;16(4):1310–7. https://doi.org/10.1200/jco.1998.16.4.1310.
- Lin JC, Jan JS, Hsu CY, Liang WM, Jiang RS, Wang WY. Phase III study of concurrent chemoradiotherapy versus radiotherapy alone for advanced nasopharyngeal carcinoma: positive effect on overall and progressionfree survival. J Clin Oncol. 2003;21(4):631–7. https://doi.org/10.1200/ jco.2003.06.158.
- Wee J, Tan EH, Tai BC, Wong HB, Leong SS, Tan T, et al. Randomized trial of radiotherapy versus concurrent chemoradiotherapy followed by adjuvant chemotherapy in patients with American Joint Committee on Cancer/

- Huncharek M, Kupelnick B. Combined chemoradiation versus radiation therapy alone in locally advanced nasopharyngeal carcinoma: results of a meta-analysis of 1528 patients from six randomized trials. Am J Clin Oncol. 2002;25(3):219–23.
- Langendijk JA, Leemans CR, Buter J, Berkhof J, Slotman BJ. The additional value of chemotherapy to radiotherapy in locally advanced nasopharyngeal carcinoma: a meta-analysis of the published literature. J Clin Oncol. 2004;22(22):4604–12. https://doi.org/10.1200/jco.2004.10.074.
- Huncharek M, Kupelnick B. In regards to Baujat et al.: Chemotherapy in locally advanced nasopharyngeal carcinoma: An individual patient data meta-analysis of eight randomized trials and 1753 patients (Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2006;64:47–56). Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2006;65(3):958; author reply-9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrob p.2006.02.057.
- Lai SZ, Li WF, Chen L, Luo W, Chen YY, Liu LZ, et al. How does intensitymodulated radiotherapy versus conventional two-dimensional radiotherapy influence the treatment results in nasopharyngeal carcinoma patients? Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2011;80(3):661–8. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2010.03.024.
- 10. Wei WI, Mok VW. The management of neck metastases in nasopharyngeal cancer. Curr Opin Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2007;15(2):99–102. https://doi.org/10.1097/MOO.0b013e3280148a06.
- Liu LT, Tang LQ, Chen QY, Zhang L, Guo SS, Guo L, et al. The prognostic value of plasma Epstein-Barr viral DNA and tumor response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy in advanced-stage nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2015;93(4):862–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrob p.2015.08.003.
- Leung TW, Tung SY, Sze WK, Wong FC, Yuen KK, Lui CM, et al. Treatment results of 1070 patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma: an analysis of survival and failure patterns. Head Neck. 2005;27(7):555–65. https://doi. org/10.1002/hed.20189.
- Karantanos T, Theodoropoulos G, Gazouli M, Vaiopoulou A, Karantanou C, Lymberi M, et al. Expression of clock genes in patients with colorectal cancer. Int J Biol Markers. 2013;28(3):280–5. https://doi.org/10.5301/ jbm.5000033.
- Wei WI, Ho CM, Wong MP, Ng WF, Lau SK, Lam KH. Pathological basis of surgery in the management of postradiotherapy cervical metastasis in nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 1992;118(9):923–9 (Discussion 30).
- Wei WI, Lam KH, Ho CM, Sham JS, Lau SK. Efficacy of radical neck dissection for the control of cervical metastasis after radiotherapy for nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Am J Surg. 1990;160(4):439–42.
- Wei WI, Ho WK, Cheng AC, Wu X, Li GK, Nicholls J, et al. Management of extensive cervical nodal metastasis in nasopharyngeal carcinoma after radiotherapy: a clinicopathological study. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2001;127(12):1457–62.
- Ho CM, Wei WI, Sham JS, Lau SK, Lam KH. Radical neck dissection in nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Aust N Z J Surg. 1991;61(12):898–902.
- Yen KL, Hsu LP, Sheen TS, Chang YL, Hsu MH. Salvage neck dissection for cervical recurrence of nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 1997;123(7):725–9.
- He LJ, Xie C, Li Y, Luo LN, Pan K, Gao XY, et al. Ultrasound-guided fine needle aspiration of retropharyngeal lymph nodes after radiotherapy for nasopharyngeal carcinoma: a novel technique for accurate diagnosis. Cancer Commun (Lond). 2018;38(1):20. https://doi.org/10.1186/s4088 0-018-0286-z.
- Li WF, Zhang Y, Huang XB, Du XJ, Tang LL, Chen L, et al. Prognostic value of plasma Epstein-Barr virus DNA level during posttreatment followup in the patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma having undergone intensity-modulated radiotherapy. Chin J Cancer. 2017;36(1):87. https:// doi.org/10.1186/s40880-017-0256-x.
- Chan AT, Lo YM, Zee B, Chan LY, Ma BB, Leung SF, et al. Plasma Epstein-Barr virus DNA and residual disease after radiotherapy for undifferentiated nasopharyngeal carcinoma. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2002;94(21):1614–9.
- 22. Lo YM, Chan AT, Chan LY, Leung SF, Lam CW, Huang DP, et al. Molecular prognostication of nasopharyngeal carcinoma by quantitative analysis of circulating Epstein-Barr virus DNA. Cancer Res. 2000;60(24):6878–81.

- 23. Shanmugaratnam K, Sobin LH. The World Health Organization histological classification of tumours of the upper respiratory tract and ear. A commentary on the second edition. Cancer. 1993;71(8):2689–97.
- Shao JY, Li YH, Gao HY, Wu QL, Cui NJ, Zhang L, et al. Comparison of plasma Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) DNA levels and serum EBV immunoglobulin A/virus capsid antigen antibody titers in patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Cancer. 2004;100(6):1162–70. https://doi.org/10.1002/ cncr.20099.
- Leung SF, Chan KC, Ma BB, Hui EP, Mo F, Chow KC, et al. Plasma Epstein-Barr viral DNA load at midpoint of radiotherapy course predicts outcome in advanced-stage nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Ann Oncol. 2014;25(6):1204–8. https://doi.org/10.1093/annonc/mdu117.
- Toh ST, Yuen HW, Lim KH, Goh YH, Goh HK. Residual cervical lymphadenopathy after definitive treatment of nasopharyngeal carcinoma: fine needle aspiration cytology, computed tomography and histopathological findings. J Laryngol Otol. 2011;125(1):70–7. https://doi.org/10.1017/ s0022215110001933.
- Lin CY, Tsai ST, Jin YT, Yang MW, Yeh IC, Hsiao JR. Outcome of surgical management of persistent or recurrent neck mass in patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma after radiotherapy. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol. 2008;265(Suppl 1):S69–74. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00405-007-0552-1.
- 28. Ahuja AT, Leung SF, Teo P, Ying M, King W, Metreweli C. Submental metastases from nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Clin Radiol. 1999;54(1):25–8.
- King WW, Teo PM, Li AK. Patterns of failure after radical neck dissection for recurrent nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Am J Surg. 1992;164(6):599–602.
- Lo YM, Chan LY, Lo KW, Leung SF, Zhang J, Chan AT, et al. Quantitative analysis of cell-free Epstein-Barr virus DNA in plasma of patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Cancer Res. 1999;59(6):1188–91.
- Leung SF, Chan AT, Zee B, Ma B, Chan LY, Johnson PJ, et al. Pretherapy quantitative measurement of circulating Epstein-Barr virus DNA is predictive of posttherapy distant failure in patients with early-stage nasopharyngeal carcinoma of undifferentiated type. Cancer. 2003;98(2):288– 91. https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.11496.
- Lin JC, Wang WY, Chen KY, Wei YH, Liang WM, Jan JS, et al. Quantification of plasma Epstein-Barr virus DNA in patients with advanced nasopharyngeal carcinoma. N Engl J Med. 2004;350(24):2461–70. https://doi. org/10.1056/NEJMoa032260.
- Wang WY, Twu CW, Chen HH, Jiang RS, Wu CT, Liang KL, et al. Long-term survival analysis of nasopharyngeal carcinoma by plasma Epstein-Barr virus DNA levels. Cancer. 2013;119(5):963–70. https://doi.org/10.1002/ cncr.27853.

- 34. Lin JC, Wang WY, Liang WM, Chou HY, Jan JS, Jiang RS, et al. Long-term prognostic effects of plasma epstein-barr virus DNA by minor groove binder-probe real-time quantitative PCR on nasopharyngeal carcinoma patients receiving concurrent chemoradiotherapy. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2007;68(5):1342–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2007.02.012.
- Hou X, Zhao C, Guo Y, Han F, Lu LX, Wu SX, et al. Different clinical significance of pre- and post-treatment plasma Epstein-Barr virus DNA load in nasopharyngeal carcinoma treated with radiotherapy. Clin Oncol (R Coll Radiol). 2011;23(2):128–33. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clon.2010.09.001.
- Le QT, Jones CD, Yau TK, Shirazi HA, Wong PH, Thomas EN, et al. A comparison study of different PCR assays in measuring circulating plasma epstein-barr virus DNA levels in patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Clin Cancer Res. 2005;11(16):5700–7. https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432. ccr-05-0648.
- Sengar M, Chorghe S, Jadhav K, Singh S, Laskar SG, Pai P, et al. Cell-free Epstein-Barr virus-DNA in patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma: plasma versus urine. Head Neck. 2016;38(Suppl 1):E1666–73. https://doi. org/10.1002/hed.24297.
- Wang WY, Lin TY, Twu CW, Tsou HH, Lin PJ, Liu YC, et al. Long-term clinical outcome in nasopharyngeal carcinoma patients with post-radiation persistently detectable plasma EBV DNA. Oncotarget. 2016;7(27):42608–16. https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.9323.
- Baujat B, Audry H, Bourhis J, Chan AT, Onat H, Chua DT, et al. Chemotherapy in locally advanced nasopharyngeal carcinoma: an individual patient data meta-analysis of eight randomized trials and 1753 patients. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2006;64(1):47–56. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ijrobp.2005.06.037.
- Twu CW, Wang WY, Chen CC, Liang KL, Jiang RS, Wu CT, et al. Metronomic adjuvant chemotherapy improves treatment outcome in nasopharyngeal carcinoma patients with postradiation persistently detectable plasma Epstein-Barr virus deoxyribonucleic acid. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2014;89(1):21–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2014.01.052.
- Zhang L, Huang Y, Hong S, Yang Y, Yu G, Jia J, et al. Gemcitabine plus cisplatin versus fluorouracil plus cisplatin in recurrent or metastatic nasopharyngeal carcinoma: a multicentre, randomised, open-label, phase 3 trial. Lancet. 2016;388(10054):1883–92. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140 -6736(16)31388-5.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

