ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Open Access



Famitinib in combination with concurrent chemoradiotherapy in patients with locoregionally advanced nasopharyngeal carcinoma: a phase 1, open-label, dose-escalation Study

Qiuyan Chen^{1,2†}, Linquan Tang^{1,2†}, Na Liu¹, Feng Han^{1,3}, Ling Guo^{1,2}, Shanshan Guo^{1,2}, Jianwei Wang^{1,3}, Huai Liu⁴, Yanfang Ye⁵, Lu Zhang⁶, Liting Liu^{1,2}, Pan Wang^{1,2}, Yingqin Li¹, Qingmei He¹, Xiaoqun Yang¹, Qingnan Tang^{1,2}, Yang Li^{1,2}, YuJing Liang^{1,2}, XueSong Sun^{1,2}, Chuanmiao Xie^{1,7}, Yunxian Mo^{1,7}, Ying Guo^{1,8}, Rui Sun^{1,2}, Haoyuan Mo^{1,2}, Kajia Cao^{1,2}, Xiang Guo^{1,2}, Musheng Zeng¹, Haiqiang Mai^{1,2*} and Jun Ma^{1,9*}

Abstract

Background: Familinib is a tyrosine kinase inhibitor against multiple targets, including vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2/3, platelet-derived growth factor receptor, and stem cell factor receptor (c-kit). Previous studies have demonstrated anti-tumour activities of familinib against a wide variety of advanced-stage solid cancers. We aimed to determine the safety and efficacy of familinib with concurrent chemoradiotherapy (CCRT) in patients with locoregionally advanced nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NPC). We also evaluated the feasibility of contrast-enhanced ultrasound (D-CEUS) as a predictor of early tumour response to familinib and to correlate functional parameters with clinical efficacy.

Methods: The trial was conducted in subjects with stage III or IVa-b NPC using a 3 + 3 design of escalating famitinib doses. Briefly, subjects received 2 weeks of famitinib monotherapy followed by 7 weeks of famitinib plus CCRT. D-CEUS of the neck lymph nodes was performed at day 0, 8 and 15 after famitinib was administered before starting concurrent chemoradiotherapy. End points included safety, tolerability and anti-tumour activity.

Results: Twenty patients were enrolled (six each for 12.5, 16.5 and 20 mg and two for 25 mg). Two patients in the 25 mg cohort developed dose-limiting toxicities, including grade 4 thrombocytopenia and grade 3 hypertension. The most common grade 3/4 adverse events were leukopenia, neutropenia and radiation mucositis. D-CEUS tests showed that more than 60% of patients achieved a perfusion parameter response after 2 weeks taking famitinib alone, and the parameter response was associated with disease improvement. In the famitinib monotherapy stage, three patients (15%) showed partial responses. The complete response rate was 65% at the completion of treatment and 95% 3 months after the treatment ended. After a median follow-up of 44 months, the 3-year progression-free survival (PFS) and distant metastasis-free survival were 70% and 75%, respectively. Subjects with a decrease of perfusion

*Correspondence: maihq@sysucc.org.cn; majun@sysucc.org

[†]Qiuyan Chen and Linquan Tang contributed equally to this article

¹ State Key Laboratory of Oncology in South China, Collaborative

Innovation Center for Cancer Medicine, Guangdong Key Laboratory

of Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma Diagnosis and Therapy, Sun Yat-sen

University Cancer Center, Guangzhou 510060, P. R. China

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2018. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/ publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

parameter response, such as peak intensity decreased at least 30% after 1 week of famitinib treatment, had higher 3-year PFS (90.9% vs. 44.4%, 95% CI 73.7%–100% vs. 11.9%–76.9%, *P* < 0.001) than those with an increase or a reduction of less than 30%.

Conclusions: The recommended famitinib dose for phase II trial is 20 mg with CCRT for patients with local advanced NPC. D-CEUS is a reliable and early measure of efficacy for famitinib therapies. Further investigation is required to confirm the effects of famitinib plus chemoradiotherapy.

Keywords: Nasopharyngeal carcinoma, Famitinib, Concurrent chemoradiotherapy, Phase I, dynamic contrastenhanced ultrasound

Background

Nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NPC) is highly endemic in Southern China and Southeast Asia, with a peak incidence of 50 cases per 100,000 [1]. Concurrent chemoradiotherapy (CCRT) with or without adjuvant chemotherapy is currently considered as the standard therapeutic regimen for locoregionally advanced NPC [2–6]. A previous study from this research group [7] demonstrated that induction chemotherapy with cisplatin, fluorouracil, and docetaxel in addition to CCRT significantly improved survival versus CCRT alone for advanced NPC patients. However, the role of induction chemotherapy remains debatable. Currently, experts agree that concurrent use of cisplatin with radiation improves progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) [6, 8–11].

Intensity-modulated radiotherapy (IMRT) could target irregularly shaped tumour in a region surrounded by multiple critical organs, and has been increasingly used [12–15]. Irrespective of the availability of modern treatments, up to 30% of patients with locoregionally advanced NPC still die of distant metastasis [14].

Angiogenesis is essential for tumour growth and metastasis, and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) is one of the most studied angiogenic factors. VEGF expression is associated with metastasis in NPC patients [16, 17]. Anti-VEGF antibody bevacizumab has direct antivascular effects with enhanced radiosensitivity [18]. A phase II study showed that the addition of bevacizumab to standard chemoradiation treatment in NPC patients is feasible and could delay the progression of subclinical distant disease [19].

Receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK) inhibitors with multiple targets are also promising for NPC treatment. Expression of the c-kit and platelet-derived growth factor receptor (PDGFR) has been detected in NPC tissues, cell lines and tumour xenografts [20–24]. In preclinical models, RTK inhibitors, such as sunitinib, demonstrated encouraging results in NPC [25, 26]. A phase II trial demonstrated significant responses in recurrent or metastatic NPC patients treated with sunitinib [27]. However, the trial was terminated due to haemorrhagic events occurred in 69% of the patients [27]. Therefore, new multi-target RTK

inhibitors with acceptable safety profiles are needed for NPC.

The sunitinib analogue familinib is a novel and highly potent multi-target RTK inhibitor against VEGFR, C-Kit, and PDGFR, and has anti-tumour activity in a range of solid tumours [28-30]. The pharmacokinetic data showed that the mean half-lives and major metabolite of famitinib in healthy volunteers were shorter than those of sunitinib [28, 30, 31]. Furthermore, after administration for 28 days, the degrees of famitinib accumulation in vivo were significantly lower than sunitinib [28, 30, 31], indicating that familinib may be a safer agent. Preclinical studies have demonstrated that both famitinib and sunitinib are synergistic with radiation [32, 33]. On the basis of promising preclinical data, we conducted this phase I study to evaluate the safety, tolerability and dose-limiting toxicities (DLTs) of familinib with CCRT in NPC patients. The secondary objectives were to assess the anti-tumour activity of familinib. Previous study has demonstrated that using contrast-enhanced ultrasound (D-CEUS) as a tool to predict early treatment response for metastatic renal cell carcinoma treated with sunitinib. We also evaluate whether D-CEUS could be used to predict famitinib response.

Patients and methods

Patients

This open-label, dose-escalation phase I study enrolled treatment-naïve patients with pathologically proven locoregionally advanced NPC who sought treatment between November 11, 2011 and September 23, 2013 at Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center, Guangzhou, China. NPC was staged according to the 7th edition American Joint Committee on Cancer [AJCC] staging system. Patients with histologically confirmed undifferentiated NPC, WHO III and confirmed T3-4N1M0 or T1-4N2-3M0 locoregionally advanced NPC were eligible. Other inclusion and exclusion criteria are described in detail in Additional file 1: Methods.

The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center (Approval No.: A2011-021-01). All patients provided written informed consent to the study. The study was registered at https://register.clinicaltrials.gov (NCT01462474).

Study design and procedures

This trial used a standard 3+3 design to identify the maximum tolerated dose. Sequential dose-escalation cohorts of three to six patients were given oral famitinib at a starting dose of 12.5 mg/day, which was increased to 16.5, 20, and 25 mg/day. Famitinib alone was administered for 2 weeks prior to starting chemoradiotherapy, followed by 7 weeks of famitinib plus CCRT. Dose escalation was continued until DLTs or until the highest planned dose level without any DLT. If one out of three patients had a DLT, three additional patients were added at that dose. If two out of six patients had a DLT, the dose was declared to be above the maximum tolerated dose.

IMRT was conducted as previously reported [7]. Gross tumour volume included the primary tumour and the enlarged lymph nodes. The definition of planning target volumes (PTVs), high- (CTV-1) and low-risk clinical target volume (CTV-2) are detailed in Additional file 1: Methods.

Cisplatin was administered at 100 mg/m² on day 1, 22, and 43 of radiotherapy. Cisplatin dose reductions or delays were based on a predefined toxicity criterion, which is available in Additional file 1: Methods. Considering the maximum tolerated dose of famitinib was 25 mg for advanced solid malignancy [28], we chose an initial dose of 12.5 mg. If two out of three patients had a DLT at 12.5 mg, the concurrent cisplatin dose was reduced to 80 mg/m² for the remaining patients.

Assessments

Toxicities were assessed by the National Cancer Institute Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE, version 4.0). DLTs included grade 4 thrombocytopenia (or grade 3 with haemorrhage), grade 4 neutropenia ($<1.0 \times 10^9$ /L) lasting for more than 5 days (or grade 3 with fever at > 38.5 °C), grade 4 anaemia, and any other grade 3 non-hematologic toxicity. Tumour response [i.e., complete response (CR), partial response (PR), progressive disease (PD) and stable disease (SD)] was evaluated 2 weeks after taking famitinib, at completion of treatment and 12 weeks later according to



Table 1 Demographicandbaselinecharacteristicsof patients with NPC who were treated with familinib

| Variables | Patients (n = 20) | | | |
|-------------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|
| Age, years | | | | |
| Median (IQR) | 43 (39–48) | | | |
| Range | 26–56 | | | |
| Male sex | 18 (80%) | | | |
| ECOG | | | | |
| 0 | 1 (5%) | | | |
| 1 | 19 (95%) | | | |
| Histology, WHO type III | 20 (100%) | | | |
| Tumour stage | | | | |
| T1 | 1 (5%) | | | |
| T2 | 3 (15%) | | | |
| Т3 | 13 (65%) | | | |
| T4 | 3 (15%) | | | |
| Node stage | | | | |
| N1 | 2 (10%) | | | |
| N2 | 13 (65%) | | | |
| N3 | 5 (25%) | | | |
| Clinical stage | | | | |
| | 12 (60%) | | | |
| IVa | 3 (15%) | | | |
| IVb | 5 (25%) | | | |
| EBV DNA, | | | | |
| ≥ 4000 copy/ml | 10 (50%) | | | |
| VCA-IgA | | | | |
| ≥ 1:80 | 15 (75%) | | | |
| EA-IgA | | | | |
| ≥ 1:10 | 13 (65%) | | | |
| Smoking ^a | · · / | | | |
| Yes | 9 (45%) | | | |
| Family history of NPC | | | | |
| Yes | 3 (15%) | | | |

ECOG Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group, WHO World Health Organization, EBV DNA Epstein–Barr virus DNA, VCA viral capsid antigen, IgA immunoglobulin A, EA, early antigen, NPC nasopharyngeal carcinoma

^a Defined as smoking >100 cigarettes/lifetime

Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumours (RECIST version 1.1).

Contrast-enhanced ultrasound (D-CEUS)

Recent evidence has suggested that molecular anti-angiogenic agents often induce tumour necrosis or decrease tumour vascularity before a reduction in tumour volume [34–36]. Therefore, D-CEUS of the neck lymph nodes was performed at baseline (day 0), day 8 and 15 after famitinib was administered before starting CCRT. The ultrasonography protocol, enhancer agent Sono-Vue (Bracco, Milan, Italy), and quantitative analysis of D-CEUS data are described in detail in Additional file 1: Methods [37, 38]. Six perfusion parameters sufficient to characterize both blood volume and blood flow were extracted from time-intensity curves: peak intensity (PI), area under the curve (AUC), time to PI (TP), mean transit time (MTT), slope of wash-in (PW) and wash-in perfusion index (WIPI). The above parameters are defined in Additional file 1: Methods. Intra-observer variability and inter-observer variability between two operators (FH and JWW) was calculated for the entire D-CEUS process (D-CEUS examination, ROI drawing and calculation of perfusion parameters) by evaluating 3 repeated examinations (SonoVue bolus injection repeated every 15 min) on 10 different patients.

Immunohistochemistry and quantitative PCR

Tissues were biopsied and routinely paraffin-embedded. VEGFR2, PDGFR2 and C-Kit expression was examined by immunohistochemistry as detailed in Additional file 1: Methods. Furthermore, blood samples were collected to determine plasma VEGF and PDGF and stem cell factor (SCF) levels at day 0 and 15 after familinib therapy and 12 weeks after completing CCRT (Additional file 1: Methods). Plasma EBV DNA concentrations were routinely measured by quantitative PCR as we described previously [39, 40].

End points

The primary end points were safety of famitinib combined with CCRT. The secondary end point was tumour response. We also evaluated whether the functional parameters of D-CEUS could serve as effective predictors of early tumour response to famitinib and the correlation between the functional parameters and clinical efficacy. Follow-up assessments were performed every 3 months during the first 2 years, every 6 months during years 3–5, and then every year.

Statistical analysis

Non- normally distributed continuous variables were expressed as median (IQR) and normally distributed data were expressed as mean (SD). Categorical variables were presented as number and percentage (%). Progression-free survival (PFS) was calculated from the date of entry into the trial to the date of first failure (local and/ or regional persistence/recurrence or distant metastasis) or death from any cause or the date of the last follow-up. Distant metastasis-free survival (DMFS) was calculated from the date of entry into the trial to the date of distant relapse or death from any cause or the date of the last follow-up. Survival analyses were performed by the Kaplan–Meier method, and log-rank test was used to compare two groups of patients with decreased D-CEUS

| Adverse event | Famitinib alone | | | | Famitinib with CCRT | | | | | |
|---------------------------|-----------------|---------|---------|---------|---------------------|-----------|----------|---------|---------|-----------|
| | Grade 1–2 | Grade 3 | Grade 4 | Grade 5 | Total | Grade 1–2 | Grade 3 | Grade 4 | Grade 5 | Total |
| Leukopenia | 1 (5%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 (5%) | 2 (10%) | 17 (85%) | 1 (5%) | 0 | 20 (100%) |
| Neutropenia | 1 (5%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 (5%) | 9 (45%) | 10 (50%) | 1 (5%) | 0 | 20 (100%) |
| Anaemia | 2 (10%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 (10%) | 16 (80%) | 4 (20%) | 0 | 0 | 20 (100%) |
| Radiation mucositis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 (80%) | 3 (15%) | 1 (5%) | 0 | 20 (100%) |
| Nausea and vomiting | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 (80%) | 1 (5%) | 0 | 0 | 17 (85%) |
| Radiation dermatitis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 (65%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 (65%) |
| Weight loss | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 (75%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 (75%) |
| Proteinuria | 1 (5%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 (5%) | 15 (75%) | 1 (5%) | 0 | 0 | 16 (80%) |
| Thrombopenia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 (50%) | 3 (15%) | 1 (5%) | 0 | 14 (70%) |
| Hypertension | 3 (15%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 (15%) | 8 (40%) | 1 (5%) | 0 | 0 | 9 (45%) |
| Liver function impairment | 3 (15%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 (15%) | 10 (50%) | 1 (5%) | 0 | 0 | 11 (55%) |
| Hypertriglyceridemia | 5 (25%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 (25%) | 5 (25%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 (25%) |
| Hearing impairment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 (20%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 (20%) |
| Renal impairment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 (20%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 (20%) |
| Hematuria | 2 (10%) | 1 (5%) | 0 | 0 | 3 (15%) | 4 (20%) | 1 (5%) | 0 | 0 | 5 (25%) |
| Haemorrhage | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 (10%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 (10%) |
| Skin rash | 2 (10%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 (10%) | 1 (5%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 (5%) |
| Hypothyroidism | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hypercholesterolemia | 1 (5%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 (5%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Elevated total bilirubin | 1 (5%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 (5%) | 1 (5%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 (5%) |
| Elevated GGT | 2 (10%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 (10%) | 2 (10%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 (10%) |

CCRT concurrent chemoradiotherapy, GGT gamma glutamyl transpeptidase, NPC nasopharyngeal carcinoma

functional parameters (\geq 30% vs. < 30%). The percent coefficient of change (CV, calculated by dividing the SD by the mean and multiplying by 100) for the perfusion parameters was calculated to evaluate intra-observer variability, and the intra-class correlation coefficients for the six perfusion parameters were also estimated to evaluate inter-observer variability. All statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS version 20.0.

Results

Patient demographic and baseline characteristics

The study flowchart is shown in Fig. 1. Twenty-three patients were screened for eligibility. One patient was excluded due to cardiac insufficiency and two patients were not included because they refused to provide consent. Finally, 20 patients were enrolled in the study. The median age of the patients was 43 years (range 26–56 years) and 80% (18/20) of the patients were male. Patient demographic and baseline characteristics are shown in Table 1. Three patients received 2 weeks of famitinib (12.5 mg/day) followed by famitinib plus CCRT (cisplatin, 100 mg/m²). Because two of the three patients receiving CCRT plus 12.5 mg cisplatin at the initial dose had a DLT, cisplatin was reduced to 80 mg/m²

in the remaining patients. Finally, three, six, six and two patients were included in the 12.5, 16.5, 20 and 25 mg cohorts.

Co-primary end points

Neither radiotherapy interruptions nor deaths occurred during the study. Famitinib as a single agent was generally well tolerated. Except one patient with grade 3 adverse event (hematuria), all adverse events were grade 1 or 2 (Table 2).

More adverse events were observed with famitinib plus CCRT (Table 2). The majority of adverse events were grade 1 or 2. The five most frequent adverse events were leukopenia (100%), neutropenia (100%), anemia (100%), radiation mucositis (100%), and nausea and vomiting (85%). The five most frequent grade 3 or 4 adverse events were leukopenia (90%), neutropenia (55%), thrombopenia (20%), anaemia (20%) and radiation mucositis (20%). In addition, grade 1 or 2 hemorrhage occurred in 2 (10%) patients and no grade 3–4 haemorrhage was recorded. No grade 5 adverse event was reported. Two out of three patients receiving 12.5 mg cisplatin had DLTs; one patient suffered grade 4 neutropenia lasting more than 5 days, and the other patient suffered grade 3 neutropenia



with fever. After cisplatin was reduced to 80 mg/m^2 , in the remaining patients, one patient had grade 4 thrombocytopenia and one patient had grade 3 hypertension while receiving 25 mg cisplatin. Grade 3 hearing impairment occurred in one patient; no other grade 3 or 4 late adverse events were reported (Additional file 1: Table S1).



Fifteen (15/20, 75.0%) patients completed three cycles and 5 (25.0%) completed two cycles of cisplatin. The recommended phase II dose was defined as familinib 20 mg/ day with CCRT. Detailed treatments are presented in Additional file 1: Table S2.

Secondary end point

In famitinib monotherapy, 3 (15%) patients exhibited PR, 1 (5%) patient had PD, and 16 (80%) patients had SD (Fig. 2a–e). Overall, 12 (60%) patients demonstrated tumour shrinkage (from -3.3% to-33.3%, Fig. 2e, f).

Thirteen (65.0%) patients achieved CR and seven (35.0%) patients achieved PR at the completion of CCRT, and 19 (95%) patients achieved CR at 3 months after treatment (Fig. 2e). One patient, who had a residual neck lymph node that was evaluated 9 months after CCRT, subsequently underwent selective neck dissection. Five patients developed distant organ metastasis during 3 years of follow-up. After a median follow-up of 44 months, the 1-, 2-, and 3-year PFS was 85%, 70% and 70%, respectively. Additionally, the 1-, 2-, and 3-year DMFS was 90%, 75% and 75%, respectively (Additional file 1: Fig. S4). All five metastatic patients received palliative chemotherapy, and three patients were currently alive and two patients died.

Early Perfusion parameters response associated with clinical outcome

The mean CV was 4.05%, 6.63%, 3.64%, 24.40%, 6.44% and 6.33% for PI, AUC, TP, MTT, PW and WIPI, respectively. The intra-class correlation coefficients for the six perfusion parameters were between 0.95 and 0.99, indicating good agreement between observers.

Anti-angiogenic activity was noted across all four doses. At baseline, the frequency of VEGFR2-positive tumour cells was 50% or higher in 16 (80%) patients and that of C-kit-positive tumour cells was 50% or higher in 8 (40%) patients. PDGFR expression was not detected in NPC tissues (Additional file 1: Fig. S1). The plasma VEGF and PDGF levels decreased versus baseline after 2 weeks of single famitinib therapy and slightly increased after discontinuing famitinib 3 months later (Additional file 1: Fig. S2). Furthermore, 11 (55%), 10 (50%), 11 (55%), and 11 (55%) patients exhibited an at least 30% reduction in perfusion parameter response 1 week after taking famitinib for PI, AUC, PW, and WIPI, respectively. Seventeen (85%), 13 (65%), 17 (85%), and 11 (65%) patients exhibited response at 2 weeks, respectively (Fig. 3). There was no statistically significant difference in changes in the perfusion parameters at baseline, day 8 and 15 in terms of tumour response (PR vs SD/PD) after taking famitinib for 2 weeks (data not shown). However, tumour necrosis



of neck lymph nodes was observed on day 15 in several typical cases (Fig. 4).

The percentage changes in dynamic functional parameters stratified by progression are shown in Additional file 1: Table S3. The percentage changes in PI, AUC, PW and WIPI at day 0, 8 and 15 were significantly different between patients with and without progression. Furthermore, patients with a perfusion parameter response of less than 30% after taking famitinib for 1 week had a high risk of disease progression (Table 3 and Additional file 1: Fig. S4), suggesting that patients with disease progression had smaller percentage changes in perfusion parameters and were not sensitive to famitinib. Typical clinical examples of the corresponding contrast uptake timeintensity curves for patients with progression at each time point after treatment are shown in Figs. 5 and 6.

Discussion

Findings from this phase I trial of 20 patients showed that the addition of familinib to chemoradiation has an encouraging tolerability and anticancer profile for patients with NPC. Based on the assessment of safety and efficacy, we recommend familinib 20 mg combined with chemoradiation (cisplatin 80 mg/m²) for phase II testing. Haemorrhage is a well-known complication of sunitinib. However, we recorded no grade 3 or grade 4

| Parameter changes | < 30% | ≥ 30% | <i>P</i> value | | | |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|----------------|--|--|--|
| | 3-year estimate (%, 95% CI) | | | | | |
| PFS (day 8) | | | | | | |
| Peak intensity | 90.9 (73.7–100) | 44.4 (11.9–76.9) | 0.021 | | | |
| Area under the curve | 90.0 (71.4–100) | 50.0 (31.0-81.0) | 0.048 | | | |
| Slope of wash-in (coefficient) | 90.9 (73.7–100) | 44.4 (11.9–76.9) | 0.021 | | | |
| Wash-in perfusion index | 90.9 (73.7–100) | 44.4 (11.9–76.9) | 0.021 | | | |
| DMFS (day 8) | | | | | | |
| Peak intensity | 90.9 (73.7–100) | 55.6 (23.1–88.1) | 0.065 | | | |
| Area under the curve | 90.0 (71.4–100) | 60.0 (23.1–88.2) | 0.119 | | | |
| Slope of wash-in (coefficient) | 90.9 (73.7–100) | 55.6 (23.1–88.1) | 0.065 | | | |
| Wash-in perfusion index | 90.9 (73.7–100) | 55.6 (23.1–88.1) | 0.065 | | | |
| PFS (day 15) | | | | | | |
| Peak intensity | 94.1 (82.9–100) | 0.0 | < 0.001 | | | |
| Area under the curve | 92.3 (77.8–100) | 42.9 (6.2–79.6) | 0.038 | | | |
| Slope of wash-in (coefficient) | 86.7 (69.5–100) | 20.0 (0-55.1) | 0.002 | | | |
| Wash-in perfusion index | 80.0 (59.8–100) | 40.0 (0-82.9) | 0.072 | | | |
| DMFS (day 15) | | | | | | |
| Peak intensity | 88.2 (72.9–100) | 0.0 | < 0.001 | | | |
| Area under the curve | 84.6 (65.0–100) | 57.1 (20.4–93.8) | 0.16 | | | |
| Slope of wash-in (coefficient) | 93.3 (80.8–100) | 20.0 (0-55.1) | < 0.001 | | | |
| Wash-in perfusion index | 86.7 (69.5–100) | 21.9 (0-82.9) | 0.024 | | | |

Table 3 Correlation between D-CEUS parameters and PFS and DMFS

D-CEUS dynamic contrast enhanced ultrasound, PFS progression-free survival, DMFS Distant metastasis-free survival, CI confidence interval

haemorrhage when combining familinib with chemoradiation in this trial. Although two of the first three patients exhibited DLTs when combining familinib with cisplatin (100 mg/m²), less toxicity was observed when cisplatin was reduced to 80 mg/m². Interestingly, we also found that D-CEUS could provide a reliable and early measure of efficacy for NPC patients treated with familinib.

With the combination with familinib, 75% of patients received three cycles of concurrent cisplatin, which showed slightly higher rates of compliance with cisplatin during radiation compared with those recorded in the Intergroup 0099 trial (63%) [41], Singapore trial (71%) [42], and Hong Kong NPC-9901 trial (52%) [9]. The 3-year PFS and DMFS were 70% and 75% for these local advanced NPC patients. At the single familinib stage, most common famitinib-related toxicities were grade I-II, and fewer side effects were noted in this study in terms of leukopenia, neutropenia, thrombocytopenia, and hypertension compared with previously published incidence rates of advanced solid malignancy refractory to standard therapy [28, 29]. This is likely because the patients enrolled in this study had not received any previous treatment, and were in better general health. A metaanalysis of VEGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors in 23 trials showed that the incidence of bleeding events was 16.7% [43]. Nevertheless, the incidence of haemorrhage in our study was only 10%, which was much lower than the results of Hui et al., who reported high incidence rates of haemorrhage (64.3%) for recurrent or metastatic NPC patients [27]. The incidence of hypertension in this trial was 50%, which was similar to the incidence of hypertension (42.9%) for sunitinib administered to recurrent or metastatic NPC patients [27] and was significantly less than that for sunitinib (92%) in renal cell carcinoma [44]. The most common grade 3–4 adverse events were leukopenia (90%), neutropenia (55%), radiation mucositis (20%), and thrombopenia (20%). The rates of grade 3–4 toxicity of the bone marrow in this trial were higher than in other trials during CCRT in patients with NPC, which were recorded as 12.6%-32% [8, 9] for leukopenia and 13.2% [42] for neutropenia. We considered that famitinib plus CCRT increased the toxicities of the bone marrow, which, however, were tolerable. Grade 3-4 radiation mucositis was found in 20%, which compares favourably to the rates recorded in the Hong Kong NPC-9901 trial (62%) [9] and the Singapore trial (48.1%) [42] as well as with the addition of cetuximab or bevacizumab to standard chemoradiation (77%-87%) [19, 45].

D-CEUS tests found that more than 60% of patients achieved a perfusion parameter response after 2 weeks taking famitinib alone. Previous data have shown the potential of D-CEUS in monitoring the response of



of tumour perfusion parameters, as shown by the contrast enhancement pattern and corresponding time-intensity curve. **d** The longest diameter of the metastatic neck lymph node lesion did not change in the axial T2-weighted MRI image at D15 (arrow). **e** Time-intensity curves of tumour enhancement at baseline (blue curve), on D8 (red curve) and on D15 (green curve). It was possible to observe an increase in the maximum enhancement and higher area under the enhancement curve early after treatment. **f** The metastatic neck lymph node lesion disappeared after the completion of CCRT and famitinib treatment (arrow), but the patients exhibited thoracic vertebrae metastasis (**g**, arrow) 5 months after complete treatment

anti-angiogenetic agents, and initial contrast uptake was a predictive factor of response to sorafenib and pazopanib in recurrent/metastatic NPC [46, 47]. To our knowledge, this is the first clinical trial to evaluate tumour response to famitinib combined with chemoradiation through D-CEUS for locally advanced NPC. In several patients, famitinib-treated tumours underwent central necrosis or decreases in tumour vascularity, as evidenced by D-CEUS measurements, indicating that famitinib is effective in decreasing tumour vascularity and inducing tumour necrosis before a reduction in tumour volume. In particular, among the parameters evaluated, PI, AUC, PW and WIPI showed an evident reduction early after the onset of famitinib treatment in most of the patients who were free of disease long-term. Patients whose total blood volume described by functional parameters decreased at least 30% after 1 week of famitinib treatment had a higher PFS than those with an increase or a reduction of less than 30%. The same results were obtained when we considered DMFS. Once again, these findings suggest that D-CEUS could be a useful complement to standard anatomic imaging for monitoring early, even long-term, therapeutic effect of famitinib in patients with NPC.

Finally, we should emphasize several limitations of our study. First, this trial is a typical nonrandomized open-label phase I study, and efficacy was only a secondary endpoint. Since many patients were



enhancement at baseline (blue curve), on D8 (red curve) and on day 15 (green curve). It was possible to observe an increased maximum enhancement and higher area under the enhancement curve at D8 early after treatment. **f** The metastatic neck lymph node lesion disappeared after the completion of CCRT and familinib treatment (arrow), but the patients exhibited liver metastasis (**g**, arrow) at 11 months after complete treatment

administered at considerably lower doses than the eventual MTD, all efficacy data should be interpreted with caution. Second, the small number of patients decreased the statistical power of our observations. We need phase II study to expand the sample size to further confirm our results. Indeed, variability in measurements is an issue within D-CEUS measurements, even if we found good agreement in a subset of patients. General predictions of the therapeutic effects by perfusion parameters of D-CEUS must be interpreted with caution. From another point of view, the residual cervical lymph nodes in the CCRT and IMRT era are very rare. The clinical applicability of D-CEUS in routine NPC management may be limited. Future work should focus on the development of practical and widely accepted measurements for the calculation of necrosis and for the classification of tumour response based on D-CEUS findings.

Conclusions

Combined use of familinib and CCRT (cisplatin, 80 mg/ m^2) is well tolerated at 20 mg/day or lower in patients with NPC. The results also suggest that D-CEUS could be used to evaluate tumour vascularization and efficacy in patients with NPC treated with familinib.

Additional file

Additional file 1: Table S1. Incidence of late toxicities in the combination group during follow up. Table S2. Actual delivered treatments for all enrolled patients. Table S3. Percentage changes from baseline of D-CEUS functional parameters stratified by progression after three years of follow up. Figure S1. Biomarker expression in NPC tumour tissue and normal nasopharyngeal epithelial cells. A VEGFR2; B PDGFR; C C-kit. VEGFR2, vascular endothelial growth factor receptor; PDGFR, platelet-derived growth factor receptor. Figure S2. Serum VEGF (A), PDGF (B) and SCF (C) concentration at baseline, two weeks after taking famitinib, and 12 weeks post-treatment (by ELISA), respectively. VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor; PDGF, platelet-derived growth factor; SCF, stem cell factor. Figure S3. A and B show the results of longitudinal monitoring of the change in plasma EBV DNA concentrations of 14 patients in continuous remission and 6 patients who exhibited relapse, respectively. Figure S4. Progressionfree survival (A) and distant metastasis-free survival (B) in patients with nasopharyngeal cancer treated with intensity-modulated radiotherapy, chemotherapy, and famitinib. Kaplan-Meier survival distributions according to the percentage variation in functional parameters (PI, AUC, PW, and PIWI) at day 8 for famitinib treatment alone. The curves show an association between an early decrease in functional parameters of PI, AUC, PW, and PIWI (after seven days of treatment, D8) and the disease progression. Patients were divided into two groups: those with a percentage decrease in PI (C), AUC (D), PW (E), and PIWI (F) greater than or equal to 30% (blue curve) and those with an increase or a percentage decrease lower than 30% (green curve). Pl, peak intensity; AUC, area under the time-intensity curve; PW, slope coefficient of wash-in; WIPI, wash-in perfusion index.

Authors' contributions

JM and HM contributed to conception and design of the study and drafted the manuscript; QC and LT participated in data collection and literature research; NL, FH, LG, SG, JW, HL, YY, LZ, LL, PW, YL, QH, XY, QT, YL, YL, XS, CX, YM, YG, RS, HM, KC, XG and MZ contributed to data analysis and interpretation. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹ State Key Laboratory of Oncology in South China, Collaborative Innovation Center for Cancer Medicine, Guangdong Key Laboratory of Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma Diagnosis and Therapy, Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center, Guangzhou 510060, P. R. China.² Department of Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma, Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center, Guangzhou 510060, P. R. China.³ Department of Ultrasound, Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center, Guangzhou 510060, P. R. China.⁴ Department of Radiation Oncology, Key Laboratory of Translational Radiation Oncology, Hunan Cancer Hospital and The Affiliated Cancer Hospital of Xiangya School of Medicine, Central South University, Changsha 410013, P. R. China.⁵ Department of Science and Education, Sun Yat-sen Memorial Hospital, Guangzhou 510120, P. R. China. ⁶ Department of Radiation Oncology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou Medical University, Guangzhou 510120, P. R. China.⁷ Department of Imaging, Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center, Guangzhou 510060, P. R. China.⁸ Department of Clinical Trial Center, Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center, Guangzhou 510060, P. R. China.⁹ Department of Radiation Oncology, Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center, Guangzhou 510060, P. R. China.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from Jiangsu Hengrui Medicine Co., Ltd. on reasonable request. The key raw data have been deposited into the Research Data Deposit (http://www.researchda ta.org.cn), with the Approval Number of RDD2018000823.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The clinical trial protocol was approved by the Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center. Each subject provided written informed consent before enrolment.

Funding

The trial was supported by Jiangsu Hengrui Medicine Co., Ltd [The National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 81230056), The National Science & Technology Pillar Program during the Twelfth Five-year Plan Period (Grand No. 2014BAl09B10, The Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province (Grand Nos. S2013010012220, 2017A030312003), the Science and Technology Project of Guangzhou City, China (Grand No. 132000507), The Health & Medical Collaborative Innovation Project of Guangzhou City, China (Grand No. 20140000001), The Innovation Team Development Plan of the Ministry of Education (Grand No. IRT_17R110), the Program of Introducing Talents of Discipline to Universities (Grand No. B14035)].

Received: 6 March 2018 Accepted: 15 September 2018 Published online: 01 November 2018

References

- Wei KR, Zheng RS, Zhang SW, Liang ZH, Li ZM, Chen WQ. Nasopharyngeal carcinoma incidence and mortality in China, 2013. Chin J Cancer. 2017;36(1):90. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40880-017-0257-9.
- 2. Langendijk JA, Leemans CR, Buter J, Berkhof J, Slotman BJ. The additional value of chemotherapy to radiotherapy in locally advanced nasopharyngeal carcinoma: a meta-analysis of the published literature. J Clin Oncol. 2004;22(22):4604–12.
- Baujat B, Audry H, Bourhis J, Chan AT, Onat H, Chua DT, et al. Chemotherapy as an adjunct to radiotherapy in locally advanced nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2006;4:CD004329.
- Huncharek M, Kupelnick B. Combined chemoradiation versus radiation therapy alone in locally advanced nasopharyngeal carcinoma: results of a meta-analysis of 1,528 patients from six randomized trials. Am J Clin Oncol. 2002;25(3):219–23.
- Chen L, Hu CS, Chen XZ, Hu GQ, Cheng ZB, Sun Y, et al. Concurrent chemoradiotherapy plus adjuvant chemotherapy versus concurrent chemoradiotherapy alone in patients with locoregionally advanced nasopharyngeal carcinoma: a phase 3 multicentre randomised controlled trial. Lancet Oncol. 2012;13(2):163–71.
- Blanchard P, Lee A, Marguet S, Leclercq J, Ng WT, Ma J, et al. Chemotherapy and radiotherapy in nasopharyngeal carcinoma: an update of the MAC-NPC meta-analysis. Lancet Oncol. 2015;16(6):645–55.
- Sun Y, Li WF, Chen NY, Zhang N, Hu GQ, Xie FY, et al. Induction chemotherapy plus concurrent chemoradiotherapy versus concurrent chemoradiotherapy alone in locoregionally advanced nasopharyngeal carcinoma: a phase 3, multicentre, randomised controlled trial. Lancet Oncol. 2016;17(11):1509–20.
- Chan AT, Teo PM, Ngan RK, Leung TW, Lau WH, Zee B, et al. Concurrent chemotherapy-radiotherapy compared with radiotherapy alone in locoregionally advanced nasopharyngeal carcinoma: progressionfree survival analysis of a phase III randomized trial. J Clin Oncol. 2002;20(8):2038–44.
- Lee AW, Lau WH, Tung SY, Chua DT, Chappell R, Xu L, et al. Preliminary results of a randomized study on therapeutic gain by concurrent chemotherapy for regionally-advanced nasopharyngeal carcinoma: NPC-9901 Trial by the Hong Kong Nasopharyngeal Cancer Study Group. J Clin Oncol. 2005;23(28):6966–75.
- Lin JC, Jan JS, Hsu CY, Liang WM, Jiang RS, Wang WY. Phase III study of concurrent chemoradiotherapy versus radiotherapy alone for advanced nasopharyngeal carcinoma: positive effect on overall and progression-free survival. J Clin Oncol. 2003;21(4):631–7.
- Chen QY, Wen YF, Guo L, Liu H, Huang PY, Mo HY, et al. Concurrent chemoradiotherapy vs radiotherapy alone in stage II nasopharyngeal carcinoma: phase III randomized trial. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2011;103(23):1761–70.
- 12. Lee N, Harris J, Garden AS, Straube W, Glisson B, Xia P, et al. Intensitymodulated radiation therapy with or without chemotherapy for

nasopharyngeal carcinoma: radiation therapy oncology group phase II trial 0225. J Clin Oncol. 2009;27(22):3684–90.

- Lee N, Xia P, Quivey JM, Sultanem K, Poon I, Akazawa C, et al. Intensitymodulated radiotherapy in the treatment of nasopharyngeal carcinoma: an update of the UCSF experience. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2002;53(1):12–22.
- Sun X, Su S, Chen C, Han F, Zhao C, Xiao W, et al. Long-term outcomes of intensity-modulated radiotherapy for 868 patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma: an analysis of survival and treatment toxicities. Radiother Oncol. 2014;110(3):398–403.
- Lee AW, Ng WT, Chan LL, Hung WM, Chan CC, Sze HC, et al. Evolution of treatment for nasopharyngeal cancer–success and setback in the intensity-modulated radiotherapy era. Radiother Oncol. 2014;110(3):377–84.
- Hui EP, Chan AT, Pezzella F, Turley H, To KF, Poon TC, et al. Coexpression of hypoxia-inducible factors 1alpha and 2alpha, carbonic anhydrase IX, and vascular endothelial growth factor in nasopharyngeal carcinoma and relationship to survival. Clin Cancer Res. 2002;8(8):2595–604.
- Wakisaka N, Wen QH, Yoshizaki T, Nishimura T, Furukawa M, Kawahara E, et al. Association of vascular endothelial growth factor expression with angiogenesis and lymph node metastasis in nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Laryngoscope. 1999;109(5):810–4.
- Dings RP, Loren M, Heun H, McNiel E, Griffioen AW, Mayo KH, et al. Scheduling of radiation with angiogenesis inhibitors anginex and Avastin improves therapeutic outcome via vessel normalization. Clin Cancer Res. 2007;13(11):3395–402.
- Lee NY, Zhang Q, Pfister DG, Kim J, Garden AS, Mechalakos J, et al. Addition of bevacizumab to standard chemoradiation for locoregionally advanced nasopharyngeal carcinoma (RTOG 0615): a phase 2 multi-institutional trial. Lancet Oncol. 2012;13(2):172–80.
- Bar-Sela G, Kuten A, Ben-Eliezer S, Gov-Ari E, Ben-Izhak O. Expression of HER2 and C-KIT in nasopharyngeal carcinoma: implications for a new therapeutic approach. Mod Pathol. 2003;16(10):1035–40.
- Huang PY, Hong MH, Zhang X, Mai HQ, Luo DH, Zhang L. C-KIT overexpression and mutation in nasopharyngeal carcinoma cell lines and reactivity of Imatinib on these cell lines. Chin J Cancer. 2010;29(2):131–5.
- Sheu LF, Lee WC, Lee HS, Kao WY, Chen A. Co-expression of c-kit and stem cell factor in primary and metastatic nasopharyngeal carcinomas and nasopharyngeal epithelium. J Pathol. 2005;207(2):216–23.
- Sheu LF, Young ZH, Lee WC, Chen YF, Kao WY, Chen A. STI571 sensitizes nasopharyngeal carcinoma cells to cisplatin: sustained activation of ERK with improved growth inhibition. Int J Oncol. 2007;30(2):403–11.
- Jiang F, Hu W, Zhang B, Xu J, Shui Y, Zhou X, et al. Changes in c-Kit expression levels during the course of radiation therapy for nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Biomed Rep. 2016;5(4):437–42.
- Qian CN, Min HQ, Lin HL, Feng GK, Ye YL, Wang LG, et al. Anti-tumor effect of angiogenesis inhibitor TNP-470 on the human nasopharyngeal carcinoma cell line NPC/HK1. Oncology. 1999;57(1):36–41.
- Qian CN, Min HQ, Lin HL, Hong MH, Ye YL. Primary study in experimental antiangiogenic therapy of nasopharyngeal carcinoma with AGM-1470 (TNP-470). J Laryngol Otol. 1998;112(9):849–53.
- Hui EP, Ma BB, King AD, Mo F, Chan SL, Kam MK, et al. Hemorrhagic complications in a phase II study of sunitinib in patients of nasopharyngeal carcinoma who has previously received high-dose radiation. Ann Oncol. 2011;22(6):1280–7.
- Zhou A, Zhang W, Chang C, Chen X, Zhong D, Qin Q, et al. Phase I study of the safety, pharmacokinetics and antitumor activity of famitinib. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol. 2013;72(5):1043–53.
- Zhang W, Zhou AP, Qin Q, Chang CX, Jiang HY, Ma JH, et al. Famitinib in metastatic renal cell carcinoma: a single center study. Chin Med J (Engl). 2013;126(22):4277–81.
- Xie C, Zhou J, Guo Z, Diao X, Gao Z, Zhong D, et al. Metabolism and bioactivation of famitinib, a novel inhibitor of receptor tyrosine kinase, in cancer patients. Br J Pharmacol. 2013;168(7):1687–706.
- 31. Bello CL, Sherman L, Zhou J, Verkh L, Smeraglia J, Mount J, et al. Effect of food on the pharmacokinetics of sunitinib malate (SU11248), a

multi-targeted receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor: results from a phase l study in healthy subjects. Anticancer Drugs. 2006;17(3):353–8.

- 32. Mu X, Ma J, Zhang Z, Zhou H, Xu S, Qin Y, et al. Famitinib enhances nasopharyngeal cancer cell radiosensitivity by attenuating radiation-induced phosphorylation of platelet-derived growth factor receptor and c-kit and inhibiting microvessel formation. Int J Radiat Biol. 2015;91(9):771–6.
- Schueneman AJ, Himmelfarb E, Geng L, Tan J, Donnelly E, Mendel D, et al. SU11248 maintenance therapy prevents regrowth after fractionated irradiation of murine tumour models. Cancer Res. 2003;63(14):4009–16.
- Lassau N, Koscielny S, Albiges L, Chami L, Benatsou B, Chebil M, et al. Metastatic renal cell carcinoma treated with sunitinib: early evaluation of treatment response using dynamic contrast-enhanced ultrasonography. Clin Cancer Res. 2010;16(4):1216–25.
- Lassau N, Lamuraglia M, Leclere J, Rouffiac V. Functional and early evaluation of treatments in oncology: interest of ultrasonographic contrast agents. J Radiol. 2004;85(5 Pt 2):704–12.
- Zocco MA, Garcovich M, Lupascu A, Di Stasio E, Roccarina D, Annicchiarico BE, et al. Early prediction of response to sorafenib in patients with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma: the role of dynamic contrast enhanced ultrasound. J Hepatol. 2013;59(5):1014–21.
- Zou RH, Lin QG, Huang W, Li XL, Cao Y, Zhang J, et al. Quantitative contrast-enhanced ultrasonic imaging reflects microvascularization in Hepatocellular Carcinoma and prognosis after resection. Ultrasound Med Biol. 2015;41(10):2621–30.
- Zhou JH, Zheng W, Cao LH, Liu M, Luo RZ, Han F, et al. Contrast-enhanced ultrasonic parametric perfusion imaging in the evaluation of antiangiogenic treatment. Eur J Radiol. 2012;81(6):1360–5.
- 39. Tang LQ, Chen QY, Fan W, Liu H, Zhang L, Guo L, et al. Prospective study of tailoring whole-body dual-modality [18F]fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography/computed tomography with plasma Epstein-Barr virus DNA for detecting distant metastasis in endemic nasopharyngeal carcinoma at initial staging. J Clin Oncol. 2013;31(23):2861–9.
- Tang LQ, Li CF, Li J, Chen WH, Chen QY, Yuan LX, et al. Establishment and validation of prognostic nomograms for endemic Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2016;108(1):djv291.
- Al-Sarraf M, LeBlanc M, Giri PG, Fu KK, Cooper J, Vuong T, et al. Chemoradiotherapy versus radiotherapy in patients with advanced nasopharyngeal cancer: phase III randomized Intergroup study 0099. J Clin Oncol. 1998;16(4):1310–7.
- 42. Wee J, Tan EH, Tai BC, Wong HB, Leong SS, Tan T, et al. Randomized trial of radiotherapy versus concurrent chemoradiotherapy followed by adjuvant chemotherapy in patients with American Joint Committee on Cancer/ International Union against cancer stage III and IV nasopharyngeal cancer of the endemic variety. J Clin Oncol. 2005;23(27):6730–8.
- Je Y, Schutz FA, Choueiri TK. Risk of bleeding with vascular endothelial growth factor receptor tyrosine-kinase inhibitors sunitinib and sorafenib: a systematic review and meta-analysis of clinical trials. Lancet Oncol. 2009;10(10):967–74.
- Feldman DR, Baum MS, Ginsberg MS, Hassoun H, Flombaum CD, Velasco S, et al. Phase I trial of bevacizumab plus escalated doses of sunitinib in patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma. J Clin Oncol. 2009;27(9):1432–9.
- Ma BB, Kam MK, Leung SF, Hui EP, King AD, Chan SL, et al. A phase II study of concurrent cetuximab-cisplatin and intensity-modulated radiotherapy in locoregionally advanced nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Ann Oncol. 2012;23(5):1287–92.
- Xue C, Huang Y, Huang PY, Yu QT, Pan JJ, Liu LZ, et al. Phase II study of sorafenib in combination with cisplatin and 5-fluorouracil to treat recurrent or metastatic nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Ann Oncol. 2013;24(4):1055–61.
- Lim WT, Ng QS, Ivy P, Leong SS, Singh O, Chowbay B, et al. A Phase II study of pazopanib in Asian patients with recurrent/metastatic nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Clin Cancer Res. 2011;17(16):5481–9.