ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Open Access



Overexpression of amplified in breast cancer 1 (*AIB1*) gene promotes lung adenocarcinoma aggressiveness in vitro and in vivo by upregulating C-X-C motif chemokine receptor 4

Liru He^{1,2†}, Haixia Deng^{1†}, Shiliang Liu^{1,2}, Jiewei Chen¹, Binkui Li¹, Chenyuan Wang¹, Xin Wang^{1,3}, Yiguo Jiang⁴, Ningfang Ma⁵, Mengzhong Liu^{1,2*} and Dan Xie^{1*}

Abstract

Background: We previously found that overexpression of the gene known as amplified in breast cancer 1 (*AlB1*) was associated with lymph node metastasis and poor prognosis in patients with lung adenocarcinoma. However, the role of AlB1 in that malignancy remains unknown. The present study aimed to investigate the function of AlB1 in the process of lung adenocarcinoma cell metastasis.

Methods: A series of in vivo and in vitro assays were performed to elucidate the function of AlB1, while real-time PCR and Western blotting were utilized to identify the potential downstream targets of AlB1 in the process of lung adenocarcinoma metastasis. Rescue experiments and in vitro assays were performed to investigate whether the invasiveness of AlB1-induced lung adenocarcinoma was mediated by C-X-C motif chemokine receptor 4 (CXCR4).

Results: The ectopic overexpression of AIB1 in lung adenocarcinoma cells substantially enhanced cell migration and invasive abilities in vitro and tumor metastasis in vivo, whereas the depletion of AIB1 expression substantially inhibited lung adenocarcinoma cell migration and invasion. CXCR4 was identified as a potential downstream target of AIB1 in lung adenocarcinoma. The knockdown of AIB1 greatly reduced CXCR4 gene expression at both the transcription and protein levels, whereas the knockdown of CXCR4 in cells with AIB1 ectopic overexpression diminished AIB1-induced migration and invasion in vitro and tumor metastasis in vivo. Furthermore, we found a significant positive association between the expression of AIB1 and CXCR4 in lung adenocarcinoma patients (183 cases), and the co-overexpression of AIB1 and CXCR4 predicted the poorest prognosis.

Conclusions: These findings suggest that AIB1 promotes the aggressiveness of lung adenocarcinoma in vitro and in vivo by upregulating CXCR4 and that it might be usable as a novel prognostic marker and/or therapeutic target for this disease.

Keywords: Lung adenocarcinoma, Amplified in breast cancer 1, C-X-C motif chemokine receptor 4, Metastasis, Prognosis

¹ The State Key Laboratory of Oncology in South China, Collaborative Innovation Center for Cancer Medicine, Sun Yat-Sen University Cancer Center, No. 651, Dongfeng Road East, Guangzhou 510060, China Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2018. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/ publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

^{*}Correspondence: liumzh@sysucc.org.cn; xiedan@sysucc.org.cn

[†]Liru He and Haixia Deng contributed equally to this work

Background

Lung cancer is responsible for the most cancer-related morbidity and mortality worldwide [1, 2], and lung adenocarcinoma is the major histologic subtype of lung cancer. Despite considerable therapeutic progress, the prognosis of patients with lung adenocarcinoma (LA) remains very poor [3], and metastasis is the main cause of cancer death [4]. It is known that the metastatic process of lung adenocarcinoma is due to multiple molecular abnormalities, such as the activation of numerous important oncogenes and/or inactivation of various tumor suppressor genes [5]. Therefore, a better understanding of the biological mechanisms underlying the metastasis of lung adenocarcinoma is crucial for the discovery of novel therapeutic targets and the consequent improvement of cancer treatment [6].

The amplified in breast cancer 1 (AIB1) gene was initially reported to be involved in a number of biological processes, including cell differentiation, proliferation, survival and migration in hormone-sensitive cancers [7, 8]. We recently reported that AIB1 was also overexpressed and closely correlated with advanced clinical stages and/or poor prognoses in a series of hormoneinsensitive malignancies [9-14], including lung adenocarcinoma [13]. Our data suggest a potential selective advantage of AIB1 in promoting the lymph node metastasis of lung adenocarcinoma [13]. Very recently, Mo et al. [15] reported that AIB1 promotes colorectal cancer metastasis by enhancing Notch signaling. These data suggest that AIB1 may also be an important oncogene involved in tumor metastasis in hormone-insensitive cancers.

To date, only some signaling pathways, such as the matrix metalloproteinase (MMP) [16, 17], focal adhesion kinase (FAK) [18] and Notch signaling pathways [15], have been identified as molecular mechanisms by which AIB1 promotes cancer cell metastasis. C-X-C motif chemokine receptor 4 (CXCR4), which plays an important role in the cell proliferation and metastasis of lung adenocarcinoma, has also been demonstrated to be a transcriptional target of AIB1 involved in promoting cell proliferation in breast and bladder cancers [19, 20]. However, it is unknown whether CXCR4 is a functional downstream target in aggressive AIB1-mediated lung adenocarcinoma.

To elucidate the potential role of *AIB1* in the development of lung adenocarcinoma, we investigated the function and underlying molecular mechanisms by which *AIB1* mediates tumor cell metastasis in lung adenocarcinoma cell lines.

Methods

Patients and tissue specimens

Thirty pairs of lung adenocarcinoma and their adjacent normal tissue samples (10 localized, 10 regional and 10 metastatic cases) were obtained with informed consent under institutional review board-approved protocols between January 2012 and December 2012 from Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center, Guangzhou, China. Tumors without regional lymph nodes or distant metastases, tumors with regional lymph node metastases but without distant metastases, and tumor with distant metastases were defined as localized, regional and metastatic cases, respectively. Paraffin-embedded pathological specimens from 183 lung adenocarcinoma patients treated between October 1994 and February 1998 were obtained from the archives of the Department of Pathology at the same institution. All the patients were treated with initial surgical resection with a curative or palliative intent. The cases were selected consecutively based on the availability of resection tissue and follow-up data. Tumor differentiation grades and pathological tumornode-metastasis (TNM) status were assessed according to the criteria of the World Health Organization and the 8th edition of the TNM classification of the International Union Against Cancer (UICC, 2015). The medical ethics committee of the Cancer Center of Sun Yat-sen University approved this study.

Construction of tissue microarrays (TMAs)

TMAs were constructed according to the method described previously [21]. The tissues (183 lung adenocarcinomas and 30 normal lung tissues from the same patients) were sampled using a tissue arraying instrument (Beecher Instruments, Silver Spring, MD, USA).

Immunohistochemistry (IHC)

Endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked with 0.3% hydrogen peroxide for 15 min. Tissue slides were boiled in 10 mmol/L citrate buffer (pH 6.0) (Beyotime, Shanghai, China) in a pressure cooker for 10 min (AIB1) or microwave-treated for 10 min for antigen retrieval. The slides were incubated with anti-AIB1 [Clone 34, BD Transduction Laboratories, San Jose, CA, USA, diluted 1:50 in phosphate buffer saline (PBS)] and anti-CXCR4 (Clone 2074, Abcam, Cambridge, UK, diluted 1:1000 in PBS) overnight at 4 °C. Subsequently, the slides were sequentially incubated with biotinylated rabbit antimouse immunoglobulin (Dako, Carpinteria, CA, USA) at a concentration of 1:100 for 30 min at 37 °C and then reacted with a streptavidin-peroxidase (Dako) conjugate for 30 min at 37 °C and 3'-3' diaminobenzidine (Dako) as a chromogen substrate. The nucleus was counterstained using Meyer's hematoxylin (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA).

Since the positive nuclei staining of normal lung tissues ranged from 0% to 10% of the epithelium, normal expression and overexpression of AIB1 were identified when the nuclei of \leq 10% and > 10% of tumor cells were positively stained, respectively. To evaluate CXCR4 IHC staining, a previously validated semi-quantitative scoring criterion was used [22, 23]. A staining index (values 0–9) was calculated by multiplying a score reflecting the intensity of CXCR4-positive staining (negative=0, weak=1, moderate=2, and strong=3) and a score reflecting the proportion of immunopositive cells of interest (<10%=1, 10% to 50%=2, and > 50%=3.

Cell lines and culture conditions

Four lung adenocarcinoma cell lines (A549, H1975, H2073 and PC9) were cultured in RPMI1640 (Gibco, Grand Island, NY, USA) medium with 10% newborn calf serum. (Gibco, Grand Island, NY, USA) Another lung adenocarcinoma cell line, H1993, was maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Gibco). All 5 cell lines were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA).

Protein extraction and Western blotting

The protein was extracted from the lung adenocarcinoma cells using Radio-Immunoprecipitation Assay (RIPA) Lysis Buffer (Beyotime) at 4 °C. Protein concentrations were measured by the Bicinchoninic Acid Protein Assay (BioRad, Hercules, CA, USA). Equal amounts of wholecell lysates were resolved by sodium dodecyl sulfatepolyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and transferred onto a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane (Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA) followed by incubation with primary mouse monoclonal antibodies against human AIB1 (1:1000 dilution), CXCR4 (1:500 dilution), tumor necrosis factor (ligand) superfamily member 10 (TNFSF10) (1:500 dilution), matrix metallopeptidase 11 (MMP11) (1:1000 dilution), matrix metallopeptidase 2 (MMP2) (1:500 dilution), and vascular endothelial growth factor A (VEGFA) (1:1000 dilution) (BD Transduction Laboratories) overnight at 4 °C. β -Actin was used as an internal control (1:1000 dilution, BD Transduction Laboratories). After washing, the polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membranes were incubated with secondary antibody (goat anti-mouse, 1:10,000 dilution, Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA, USA) for 2 hat room temperature. The immunoreactive proteins were detected with enhanced chemiluminescence detection reagents (Amersham Biosciences, Uppsala, Sweden) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Knockdown of AIB1 and CXCR4 by lentiviral short hairpin RNA (shRNA)

We synthesized the sequences of AIB1 to construct lentiviral shRNA1 (5'-GGTCTTACCTGCAGTGGTGAA-3') and shRNA2 (5'-AGACTCCTTAGGACC GCTT-3'), which have been previously found to efficiently knock down endogenous AIB1 expression in human cancer cells [14]. The shRNA sequence for CXCR4 is 5'-ACCGCG ATCAGTGTGAGTATATAAAGTTCTCTTATATAC TCACACTGATCGCTTTTTC-3', which was also previously validated [24]. Virus packaging was performed by the transient transfection of 293FT cells with a transfer plasmid and three packaging plasmids: pMDLg/pRRE, pRSV-REV, and pCMV-VSVG, which were kindly provided by Professor Peng Xiang (Center for Stem Cell Biology and Tissue Engineering, Sun Yat-sen University). Seventy-two hours after transfection, the lentiviral particles were collected, filtered, and then concentrated. Subsequently, we infected the lung adenocarcinoma cell lines with the lentivirus in a 24-well plate. Four days after infection, the knockdown efficiency was examined by Western blotting.

Plasmid constructs and transfection

The construction of a plasmid expressing human AIB1 (pcDNA-AIB1) was conducted as described in our previous study [25]. In brief, full-length human AIB1 cDNA was amplified by PCR (primer: 5'-GTCATATGATGAGTG GATTAGGAGAAAAC -3' (forward) and 5'- CGAGAT CTTCAGCAGTATTTCTGATCAGG-3' (reverse), initial denaturation at 95 °C for 10 min and 35 cycles of 95 °C for 15 s, 55 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 5 min) and cloned into the NheI and EcoRI site pcDNA3.1 (+) expression vector (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), then transfected into A549 cells using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Cells transfected with empty vector were used as controls. Stable AIB1-expressing clones were selected by Geneticin (Rache Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN) (500 µg/mL).

RNA interference (RNAi)

Short interfering RNAs (siRNAs) specifically directed against the CXCR4 gene (1: 5'-AUCACGUAAAGCUAGAAA -3', 5'-GGGAUCAUUUCUAGCUUU-3'; 2: 5'-GCUGUU UAUGCAUAGAUA-3', 5'-GAGAGAUUAUCUAUGCAU -3') [26] and corresponding scrambled siRNAs (Ribo bio, Guangzhou, China) were transfected into A549 cells in sixwell plates using Lipofectamine 2000 transfection reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Migration and invasion assays

Cell migration was assessed by measuring the movement of cells into a scratch created by a 200 ml pipette tube.

(See figure on next page.)

Fig. 1 Amplified in breast cancer 1 (AIB1) expression in lung adenocarcinoma tissues. Immunohistochemical (IHC) staining of AIB1 in normal lung tissues (**a**) and the primary lesions of localized (n = 61, mean positive rate of AIB1 expression is 19.7%) (**b**), regional (n = 76, mean positive rate of AIB1 expression is 40.3%) (**c**), and metastatic (n = 30, mean positive rate of AIB1 expression is 70.5%) (**d**) lung adenocarcinoma tissues. **e** The box plots demonstrate the range of AIB1 expression within each group (non-tumor, localized tumor, regional tumor, and metastatic tumor). **f** Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve of AIB1 in lung adenocarcinomas with regional lymph node metastasis compared to non-regional metastatic lung adenocarcinomas. Blue line: ROC curve, green line: reference line. **g** ROC curve of AIB1 in metastatic lung adenocarcinomas compared to non-metastatic lung adenocarcinomas. Blue line: ROC curve, green line: reference line. **h** Overall survival curve according to AIB1 expression level for 167 lung adenocarcinoma patients

The degree of wound closure was observed after 24 h and photographed under a microscope. The fraction of cell coverage across the line was measured to determine the migration rate. Wound repair = [(Diameter of the wound before migration – Diameter of the wound after migration)/Diameter of the wound before migration] × 100%. Each independent experiment was repeated three times.

For invasion assays, cells (3×10^5) were added to a Matrigel invasion chamber (BD Biosciences, Becton Dickson Labware, Flanklin Lakes, New Jersey, USA) in the insert of a 24-well culture plate. Fetal bovine serum was added to the lower chamber as a chemoattractant. After 24 h, invasive cells located on the lower side of the chamber were fixed and stained with crystal violet, air dried, and photographed. The invasive cells were counted in five fields under an inverted microscope. Experiments were performed in triplicate with a minimum of 40 grids (400 magnification) per filter counted.

Real-time PCR

RNA was extracted from H1993 AIB1_shRNA2 and H1993_control_shRNA using Trizol (Invitrogen) and was cleaned using the RNeasy MinElute Cleanup Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, California, USA). The concentrations of the RNA samples were measured by NanoDrop 2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham Massachusetts, US). Subsequently, total RNA was reverse transcribed using Super- Script III reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen), and cDNA was amplified by PCR using 2×Super Array PCR Master Mix (SuperArray Bioscience, Frederick, Maryland, USA). Real-time PCR was then performed on each sample using the Human Tumor Metastasis RT2 Profiler-PCR array (SuperArray Bioscience) in an Opticon DNA Engine ABI PRISM7900 system (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Data were normalized to glyceraldehyde phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) levels by the $\Delta\Delta$ Ct method [27].

In vivo metastasis model

Animal experiments were carried out in accordance with the National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care

and Use of Laboratory animals (NIH Publications No. 8023, revised 1978). Eight 4-week-old Balb/c nude mice, which were purchased from Shanghai Slac Laboratory Animal Co. Ltd. (Shanghai, China), were injected with A549-Vec, A549-AIB1, or A549-AIB1+CXCR4 shRNA cells. Briefly, 2×10^5 cells (mixed with 100 µL PBS) were injected intravenously through the tail vein into each Balb/c nude mouse in a laminar flow cabinet. Six weeks after cell injection, the mice were killed by cervical dislocation. Their livers and lungs were harvested, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, and embedded in paraffin. Subsequently, serial 2-µm-thick sections of the whole lungs and livers were obtained and examined by hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining to identify the metastases. All the procedures were performed in accordance with the guidelines of the laboratory animal ethics committee of Sun Yat-sen University.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS software (SPSS Standard version 19.0, SPSS Inc. Chicago, IL). Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was applied to determine the cutoff scores of AIB1 expression for distinguishing localized, regional, and metastatic lung adenocarcinomas. The sensitivity, specificity, and areas under the ROC curves (AUC) were calculated. The association of AIB1 protein expression with clinicopathologic features and the correlations between molecular features were assessed by the Chi square test. Survival curves were assessed by the Kaplan–Meier method and compared by the log-rank test. Two-sided *P* values of less than 0.05 were considered to indicate statistical significance.

Results

IHC staining of AIB1 expression in the localized, regional, and metastatic stages of lung adenocarcinoma tissues

The mean positive rates of AIB1 expression in lung adenocarcinoma at the localized, regional, and metastatic stages of lung adenocarcinoma were about 20.0%, 40.0%, and 70.0% respectively, compared with 3.0% (range 0.0%-10.0%) for normal tissues (*P*<0.001, Fig. 1a–e).



Characteristic	Total (cases)	AIB1 expression [cases (%)]		Р
		Normal expression	Overexpression	
Age (years)				0.959
≤ 50 ^a	81	38 (46.9)	43 (53.1)	
> 50	86	40 (46.5)	46 (53.5)	
Gender				0.704
Male	118	54 (45.8)	64 (54.2)	
Female	49	24 (49.0)	25 (51.0)	
Tumor grade				0.110
G1	41	23 (56.1)	18 (43.9)	
G2	82	40 (48.8)	42 (51.2)	
G3	44	15 (34.1)	29 (65.9)	
T status				0.226
T1-2	88	45 (51.1)	43 (48.9)	
T3-4	79	33 (41.8)	46 (58.2)	
N status				0.015
NO	63	37 (58.7)	26 (41.3)	
N1-3	104	41 (39.4)	63 (60.6)	
M status				0.001
MO	137	72 (52.6)	65 (47.4)	
M1	30	6 (20.0)	24 (80.0)	
Stage				< 0.001
1	32	24 (75.0)	8 (25.0)	
Ш	28	17 (60.7)	11 (39.3)	
III	77	31 (40.3)	46 (59.7)	
IV	30	6 (20.0)	24 (80.0)	

Table 1 Amplified in breast cancer 1 (AIB1) expression status and characteristics of the 167 lung adenocarcinoma patients

^a Median age = 50 years old

The sensitivity, specificity, and area under the ROC curve (AUC) values of AIB1 expression levels for regional versus nonregional stages were 83.3%, 93.3%, and 0.927, respectively (P<0.001, Fig. 1f), whereas the sensitivity, specificity, and AUC values of AIB1 expression levels for metastatic versus nonmetastatic stages were 80.0%, 78.0%, and 0.890, respectively (P<0.001, Fig. 1g).

Association between the expression of AIB1 and lung adenocarcinoma patient clinicopathologic features and survival

We evaluated AIB1 expression in 167/183 (91.3%) of lung adenocarcinomas and 27/30 (90.0%) of normal lung tissues; lost samples, samples with too few tumor cells (<300 cells per case), and unrepresentative samples were not used in data compilation. Employing the previously described criterion (normal expression and overexpression of AIB1 were identified when at least 10% and more than 10%, respectively, of tumor cell nuclei were positively stained), overexpression of AIB1 was observed in 41.3%, 60.6%, 47.4%, and 80% of samples in the N0, N+, M0, and M1 stages of lung adenocarcinoma, respectively

(Table 1). Overexpression of AIB1 was correlated with an ascending clinical stage (P < 0.001, Table 1) and poor survival in lung adenocarcinoma patients (P < 0.001, Fig. 1h).

Silencing of AIB1 by RNA interference inhibits lung adenocarcinoma cell migration and invasion in vitro

Of the five lung adenocarcinoma cell lines analyzed, H1975, H1993, H2073, and PC9 cells showed relatively high levels of endogenous AIB1 protein expression, whereas A549 cells showed relatively low levels of AIB1 protein expression (Fig. 2a left).Two lung adenocarcinoma cell lines, H1975 and H1993, were then treated with two specific shRNAs against AIB1, and the shRNAs could efficiently knock down endogenous AIB1 in lung adenocarcinoma cells (Fig. 2a right). The knockdown of AIB1 caused an apparent suppression of cell migration in both H1975 and H1993 cell lines, as shown by using a wound-healing assay (P < 0.01, Fig. 2b). The ablation of endogenous AIB1 markedly reduced the invasive ability of both H1975 and H1993 cell lines in Matrigel invasion assays (P < 0.05, Fig. 2c).



treatment with AlB1-shRNA1 and AlB1-shRNA2. **b** Wound-healing assays show that AlB1-silenced H1975 and H1993 cells had lower motility than control cells. **c** Cell invasion was evaluated using a Matrigel invasion chamber. Silencing of AlB1 decreased H1975 and H1993 cell invasive capacity. The numbers of invaded cells in the shAlB1 and control groups are shown in the right panel. Data are the mean \pm standard error (SE) of three independent experiments; **P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01 versus cells transfected with shC by Student's t test

Ectopic overexpression of AIB1 by plasmid transfection promotes lung adenocarcinoma cell migration and invasion in vitro

To determine whether the ectopic overexpression of AIB1 could enhance the migration and invasion capacity

of lung adenocarcinoma cells, the A549-AIB1 cell line, which overexpressed AIB1, was constructed and used to perform wound-healing and invasion assays (Fig. 3a left) The wound-healing assay showed that the ectopic overexpression of AIB1 enhanced A549 cell migration at the



Table 2 Differential expression of 5 metastasis-related genes in H1993-shAlB1 cells relative to expression in H1993-vector cells

Gene symbol	Gene name	Location	Fold change - 22.49
CXCR4	Chemokine (C-X-C motif) receptor 4	2q21	
TNFSF10	Tumor necrosis factor (ligand) superfamily, member 10	3q26	- 8.37
MMP11	Matrix metallopeptidase 11	22q11.23	- 4.63
MMP2	Matrix metallopeptidase 2	16q13-q21	- 4.31
VEGFA	Vascular endothelial growth factor A	6p12	- 3.85

edge of the exposed regions (P<0.01, Fig. 3a right). The Matrigel invasion assay demonstrated that the invasive capacity of the A549-AIB1 cells was greater than that of the control A549-vector cells (P<0.05, Fig. 3b).

AIB1 up-regulates CXCR4 expression in lung adenocarcinoma cells

To identify potential downstream targets regulated by AIB1 that were involved in lung adenocarcinoma cell invasion and/or metastasis, the mRNA expression profiles of shAIB1-transfected H1993 cells were compared with those of the control H1993 cells using a Human Tumor Metastasis RT2 Profiler TM PCR Array containing 84 cell metastasis-related genes. The results showed that a total of five downregulated genes (3.5fold) were identified in shAIB1-transfected H1993 cells (Table 2, Additional file 1: Table S1). Subsequently, these five downstream targets (CXCR4, TNFSF10, MMP11, MMP2, and VEGFA) were selected and analyzed by Western blotting (Fig. 4a). Consistent with the mRNA (See figure on next page.)

Fig. 4 The associations of AlB1 and C-X-C motif chemokine receptor 4 (CXCR4) expression in lung adenocarcinoma cells. **a** The five genes, CXCR4, tumor necrosis factor (ligand) superfamily member 10 (TNFSF10), matrix metallopeptidase 11 (MMP11), matrix metallopeptidase 2 (MMP2), and vascular endothelial growth factor A (VEGFA), showed more than a 3.5-fold mRNA differential expression in shAlB1-transfected H1993 cells compared with that in control H1993 cells, as shown by using a human tumor metastasis RT2 profiler PCR array. **b** Silencing of AlB1 by two shRNAs down-regulated CXCR4 expression in shAlB1 H1993 cells, as detected by Western blotting. **c** Upper left: treatment of 2 CXCR4-shRNAs in A549-AlB1 cells efficiently decreased the expression levels of CXCR4 as detected by Western blotting. Upper right and down: wound-healing assay showed that the enhanced migrative ability in A549-AlB1 cells was inhibited by silencing CXCR4. **d** Transwell assay demonstrated that the increased invasive capacity of A549-AlB1 cells was suppressed by CXCR4 silencing. Data are the mean ± SE of three independent experiments. ***P* < 0.01, **P* < 0.05 versus cells transfected with A549-AlB1 by Student's t test. **e** Upper right and down: Wound-healing assay showed that the attenuated migrative ability in H1993-shAlB1 cells was enhanced by the overexpression of CXCR4. **f** Transwell assay demonstrated that the attenuated migrative ability in H1993-shAlB1 cells was enhanced by the overexpression of CXCR4. **f** Transwell assay demonstrated that the attenuated invasive capacity of H1993-shAlB1 cells was enhanced by the overexpression of CXCR4. **f** Transwell assay demonstrated that the attenuated invasive capacity of H1993-shAlB1 cells was enhanced by the overexpression of CXCR4. **f** Transwell assay demonstrated that the attenuated invasive capacity of H1993-shAlB1 cells was enhanced by the overexpression of CXCR4. **f** Transwell assay demonstrated that the attenuated invasive capacity of were capacity of three independent experiments. **

expression in the real-time PCR array, decreased protein expression of CXCR4 was shown by Western blotting in H1993 cells after AIB1 knockdown (Fig. 4b).

CXCR4 mediates the invasiveness of AIB1-induced lung adenocarcinoma

To determine whether CXCR4 is a functional downstream target involved in AIB1-induced lung adenocarcinoma cell aggressiveness, two RNAis were used to silence CXCR4 expression in AIB1-overexpressing A549 cells (Fig. 4c). We found that after the siCXCR4 treatment of A549-AIB1 cells, the AIB1-induced migration and invasive capacities of the A549 cells were dramatically inhibited (P < 0.05, Fig. 4c, d). In addition, we upregulated the expression of CXCR4 in H1993-shAIB1 cells and found that the attenuated migration and invasion cell abilities caused by AIB1 depletion were rescued by CXCR4 overexpression (P < 0.05, Fig. 4e, f).

Enforced expression of AIB1 enhances the metastasis potential of the lung adenocarcinoma cell line mediated by CXCR4 in vivo

To investigate whether AIB1 could affect the metastatic potential of lung adenocarcinoma cells in vivo and whether this effect could be mediated by CXCR4, we performed in vivo metastasis assays using a Balb/c nude mouse model. We did not detect any tumor nodules in the livers of all mice examined; however, metastatic tumor nodules were frequently found in the lungs of the mice (Fig. 5a). The expression levels of AIB1 and CXCR4, as detected by IHC, were simultaneously higher in the tumors of the A549-AIB1 group than in the tumors of the A549-vector group (Fig. 5b). The overexpression of AIB1 increased the number of lung metastases in mice injected with A549-AIB1 cells by approximately three-fold compared with the number in mice injected with A549 cells, whereas the depletion of CXCR4 dramatically decreased the number of AIB1-induced lung metastases (P < 0.05, Fig. 5b).

Expression of CXCR4 in lung adenocarcinoma tissues and its correlation with AIB1 expression and patient survival

The median staining index of CXCR4 in lung adenocarcinoma was 3; thus, the categories of high and low expression were defined as groups with a staining index above or below 3. In 156 of the 183 samples, AIB1 and CXCR4 IHC were detected successfully and simultaneously. The rate of high CXCR4 expression was significantly greater in carcinomas overexpressing AIB1 (58/83 cases, 69.9%) than in those cases with a normal expression of AIB1 (23/73 cases, 31.5%, P<0.001, Table 3, Fig. 6a, b). Furthermore, high expression of CXCR4 was significantly associated with poorer survival in lung adenocarcinoma patients (P<0.001, Fig. 6c). In addition, lung adenocarcinoma patients with high expression of both AIB1 and CXCR4 displayed the poorest survival, whereas patients with low expression of AIB1 and CXCR4 had the best survival (*P* < 0.001, Fig. 6d).

Discussion

In the present study, we report that the knockdown of AIB1 efficiently inhibits the migration and invasive abilities of lung adenocarcinoma in vitro, whereas the enforced overexpression of AIB1 substantially promotes lung adenocarcinoma migration and invasion in vitro and results in enhanced metastatic capacities in vivo. Importantly, we demonstrated that AIB1 enhances the migratory and metastasis abilities of lung adenocarcinoma cells by up-regulating the expression of chemokine receptor type 4 (CXCR4), an important downstream target. Furthermore, we showed that the simultaneous overexpression of AIB1 and CXCR4 predicts the poorest survival of LA patients.

Our previous study demonstrated the phenomenon of *AIB1* amplification in lung adenocarcinoma and showed that the overexpression of AIB1 was associated with pN status in M0 lung adenocarcinoma patients [13]. In the present study, we further found that the positive





lungs showing metastatic nodules originating from A549-vector, A549-AlB1, and A549-AlB1 + CXCR4-shRNA cells injected with Balb/c nude mice. Right: number of metastatic nodules formed in the lungs of mice 6 weeks after tail vein injection of A549-vector, A549-AlB1, and A549-AlB1 + CXCR4-shRNA cells (eight mice per group; *P < 0.05; independent Student's t test). **b** Representative hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining and IHC staining of AlB1 and CXCR4 in lung metastatic tumors originating from A549-vector, A549-AlB1, and A549-AlB1 + CXCR4-shRNA cells injected with Balb/c nude mice

Table 3 Associations of the expression status of AIB1and CXCR4 in lung adenocarcinoma

CXCR4 expression	Cases	AIB1 expression [cases (%)]		Р
		Normal	Over	
				< 0.001
Low	75	50 (66.7)	25 (33.3)	
High	81	23 (28.4)	58 (71.6)	

expression rate of AIB1 increased from the localized to regional to metastatic stages of lung adenocarcinoma tissues. Similar results were observed in other human cancers, such as breast [16], prostate [17, 18], esophageal [28], pancreatic [29], and colon/rectum cancer [15], in which overexpression of AIB1 was reported to be associated with lymph node metastasis and/or distant organ

metastasis. In the present study, we demonstrated that AIB1 promotes lung adenocarcinoma migration in vitro and metastasis in vivo. These data support our emerging view that AIB1 is an important factor in promoting lung adenocarcinoma cell metastasis.

To the best of our knowledge, only two other studies have investigated the role of AIB1 in promoting cancer cell metastasis in vivo, one in breast cancer and the other in colorectal cancer, and only lung metastases were observed [15, 16]. Interestingly, in our mouse model, metastatic tumor nodules were also frequently found in lung tissues but not in liver tissues. It has been suggested that the expression of certain genes may lead to organspecific metastasis in human cancers. For example, in colorectal cancer, the expression of transforming growth factor α (TGF α) often leads to liver-only metastasis [30], whereas in prostate cancer, the expression of plateletderived growth factor receptor beta (PDGFR- β) often



leads to bone-only metastasis [31]. These data suggest that AIB1 may promote lung metastasis in certain human cancers.

To date, the molecular mechanisms by which AIB1 promotes cancer cell migration/metastasis are not yet fully understood. In 2008, Qin et al. [16] first reported that AIB1 can promote breast cancer cell metastasis through matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs). Later, Long et al. [18] and Yan et al. [17] reported that AIB1 can promote prostate and breast cancer cell metastasis through focal adhesion kinase (FAK). More recently, Mo et al. [15] revealed that AIB1 promotes colorectal cancer by the Notch signaling pathway. However, little is known about the mechanism by which AIB1 promotes lung adenocarcinoma cell metastasis. To investigate the downstream molecular events involving AIB1 and lung adenocarcinoma metastasis, we compared the mRNA expression profiles of shAIB1-transfected H1993 cells and H1993-vector cells using a human tumor metastasis real-time PCR array. Of the 84 genes, 5 genes (CXCR4, TNFSF10, MMP11, MMP2, and VEGFA) showed differential expression of 3.5-fold or more at the mRNA level. Subsequently, downregulated CXCR4 was validated in protein levels by Western blot. Furthermore, a positive correlation between the overexpression of AIB1 and CXCR4 was observed in our cohort of lung adenocarcinoma tissues. These results collectively suggest that AIB1 may promote lung adenocarcinoma cell metastasis by regulating CXCR4.

In recent years, CXCR4, which belongs to the family of chemokines, has been reported to be overexpressed and to play an important role in the cell proliferation, migration, and metastasis of several cancers, including non-small cell lung cancer [32, 33]. Regarding lung adenocarcinoma histologic subtypes, it has been reported that the cytomembranous expression of CXCR4 in lung adenocarcinoma is associated with metastasis and patient survival [34]. More recently, Bertolini et al. [35] demonstrated that the subset of CD133+/CXCR4+ lung adenocarcinoma cells are highly tumorigenic and metastatic in vivo. To determine whether CXCR4 is functionally involved in AIB1-induced lung adenocarcinoma cell aggressiveness, we silenced CXCR4 by using siRNA in A549-AIB1 cells. The results clearly showed that the silencing of CXCR4 substantially prevented AIB1induced A549 cell migration and invasion. These data, taken together, indicate that AIB1 might promote lung adenocarcinoma cell metastasis by regulating CXCR4.

With respect to the potential molecular mechanisms of how AIB1 regulates the expression of CXCR4, Cheng et al. [36] reported that SDF-1 α /CXCL12 induced cell migration via SRC-mediated CXCR4-EGFR cross-talk in gastric cancer cells. It has also been demonstrated that SRC regulates breast cancer cell proliferation and

invasion through the autocrine/paracrine activity of SDF- 1α /CXCL12. Stromal derived factor-1 (SDF- 1α), also termed CXCL12, is the main ligand for CXCR4 [20]. However, CXCL12 has not been found to be obviously downregulated in our real-time PCR array. CXCR4 is one of the most important molecule in promoting metastasis [37]. Further studies are needed to elucidate the detailed mechanisms by which AIB1 regulates CXCR4 expression in lung adenocarcinoma.

In conclusion, our results provide evidence that (1) AIB1 promotes lung adenocarcinoma aggressiveness in vitro and in vivo by upregulating the expression of an important downstream target, CXCR4, and (2) AIB1 and CXCR4 may potentially serve as novel prognostic markers and/or therapeutic targets for this disease.

Additional file

Additional file 1: Table S1. Expression of 84 metastasis-related genes in H1993-shAlB1 cells relative to that in H1993-vector cells.

Abbreviations

AlB1: the amplified in breast cancer 1; LA: lung adenocarcinoma; CXCR4: chemokine receptor 4; TMA: tissue microarrays; IHC: immunohistochemistry; SCID: severe combined immune-deficient; ROC: receiver operating characteristic; TNFSF 10: tumor necrosis factor superfamily, member 10; VEGFA: vascular endothelial growth factor A; TGFa: transforming growth a; PDGFR- β : platelet-derived growth factor receptor- β ; MMP: matrix metalloproteinases; FAK: focal adhesion kinase.

Authors' contributions

LRH participated in study design, collected the clinical data and drafted the manuscript. HXD, SLL, JWC, BKL, CYW and NFM contributed to the experimental work. YGJ and XW performed the statistical analysis. MZL and DX conceived of the study, participated in its design and revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹ The State Key Laboratory of Oncology in South China, Collaborative Innovation Center for Cancer Medicine, Sun Yat-Sen University Cancer Center, No. 651, Dongfeng Road East, Guangzhou 510060, China. ² Department of Radiation Oncology, Sun Yat-Sen University Cancer Center, Guangzhou, China. ³ Department of Thoracic Oncology, Sun Yat-Sen University Cancer Center, Guangzhou, China. ⁴ The State Key Laboratory of Respiratory Disease, Guangzhou Medical University, Guangzhou, China. ⁵ Key Laboratory of Protein Modification and Degradation, School of Basic Medical Sciences, Affiliated Cancer Hospital & Institute of Guangzhou Medical University, Guangzhou, China.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank LetPub (http://www.letpub.com) for providing linguistic assistance during the preparation of this manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Availability of data and materials

The key raw data have been deposited into the Research Data Deposit (http:// www.researchdata.org.cn), with the Approval Number of RDDB2018000351.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This project was approved by the Ethical Committee of Sun Yat-Sen University Cancer Center and informed consent was obtained from all patients. All animal experiments were conducted in accordance with the guidelines of the laboratory animal ethics committee of Sun Yat-Sen University.

Funding

This work was supported by grants from National Key R&D Program of China (No. 2017YFC1309001), Nature Science Foundation of China (No. 81201842 and No. 81772483) and Open Project of State Key Laboratory of Respiratory Disease of China (No. SKLRD2016OP004 and No. 2007DA80154F1108).

Received: 6 October 2017 Accepted: 11 July 2018 Published online: 13 August 2018

References

- Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2016. CA Cancer J Clin. 2016;66(1):7–30.
- Dubey AK, Gupta U, Jain S. Epidemiology of lung cancer and approaches for its prediction: a systematic review and analysis. Chin J Cancer. 2016;35(1):71.
- Liu YT, Hao XZ, Li JL, Hu XS, Wang Y, Wang ZP, et al. Survival of patients with advanced lung adenocarcinoma before and after approved use of gefitinib in China. Thorac Cancer. 2015;6(5):636–42.
- Hung JJ, Jeng WJ, Wu YC, Chou TY, Hsu WH. Factors predicting organspecific distant metastasis in patients with completely resected lung adenocarcinoma. Oncotarget. 2016;7(36):58261–73.
- Tan Q, Cui J, Huang J, Ding Z, Lin H, Niu X, et al. Genomic alteration during metastasis of lung adenocarcinoma. Cell Physiol Biochem. 2016;38(2):469–86.
- Fang B, Mehran RJ, Heymach JV, Swisher SG. Predictive biomarkers in precision medicine and drug development against lung cancer. Chin J Cancer. 2015;34(7):295–309.
- Yan J, Tsai SY, Tsai MJ. SRC-3/AIB1: transcriptional coactivator in oncogenesis. Acta Pharmacol Sin. 2006;27(4):387–94.
- Ma G, Ren Y, Wang K, He J. SRC-3 has a role in cancer other than as a nuclear receptor coactivator. Int J Biol Sci. 2011;7(5):664–72.
- Xie D, Sham JS, Zeng WF, Lin HL, Bi J, Che LH, et al. Correlation of AlB1 overexpression with advanced clinical stage of human colorectal carcinoma. Hum Pathol. 2005;36:777–83.
- Xu FP, Xie D, Wen JM, Wu HX, Liu YD, Bi J, et al. SRC-3/AIB1 protein and gene amplification levels in human esophageal squamous cell carcinomas. Cancer Lett. 2007;245:69–74.
- Liu MZ, Xie D, Mai SJ, Tong ZT, Shao JY, Fu YS, et al. Overexpression of AlB1 in nasopharyngeal carcinomas correlates closely with advanced tumor stage. Am J Clin Pathol. 2008;129(5):728–34.
- Luo JH, Xie D, Liu MZ, Chen W, Liu YD, Wu GQ, et al. Protein expression and amplification of AlB1 in human urothelial carcinoma of the bladder and overexpression of AlB1 is a new independent prognostic marker of patient survival. Int J Cancer. 2008;122:2554–61.
- He LR, Zhao HY, Li BK, Zhang LJ, Liu MZ, Kung HF, et al. Overexpression of AlB1 negatively affects survival of surgically resected non-small-cell lung cancer patients. Ann Oncol. 2010;21(8):1675–81.
- 14. Tong ZT, Wei JH, Zhang JX, Liang CZ, Liao B, Lu J, et al. AlB1 predicts bladder cancer outcome and promotes bladder cancer cell proliferation through AKT and E2F1. Br J Cancer. 2013;108(7):1470–9.
- Mo P, Zhou Q, Guan L, Wang Y, Wang W, Miao M, et al. Amplified in breast cancer 1 promotes colorectal cancer progression through enhancing notch signaling. Oncogene. 2015;34(30):3935–45.
- Qin L, Liao L, Redmond A, Young L, Yuan Y, Chen H, et al. The AIB1 oncogene promotes breast cancer metastasis by activation of PEA3-mediated matrix metalloproteinase 2 (MMP2) and MMP9 expression. Mol Cell Biol. 2008;28(19):5937–50.
- Yan J, Erdem H, Li R, Cai Y, Ayala G, Ittmann M, et al. Steroid receptor coactivator-3/AIB1 promotes cell migration and invasiveness through focal adhesion turnover and matrix metalloproteinase expression. Cancer Res. 2008;68(13):5460–8.

- Long W, Yi P, Amazit L, LaMarca HL, Ashcroft F, Kumar R, et al. SRC-3Delta4 mediates the interaction of EGFR with FAK to promote cell migration. Mol Cell. 2010;37(3):321–32.
- Zhang Y, Wang JH, Liu B, Qu PB. Steroid receptor coactivator-3 promotes bladder cancer through upregulation of CXCR4. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev. 2013;14(6):3847–50.
- Kishimoto H, Wang Z, Bhat-Nakshatri P, Chang D, Clarke R, Nakshatri H. The p160 family coactivators regulate breast cancer cell proliferation and invasion through autocrine_paracrine activity of SDF-1alpha_CXCL12. Carcinogenesis. 2005;26(10):1706–15.
- Kononen J, Bubendorf L, Kallioniemi A, Barlund M, Schraml P, Leighton S, et al. Tissue microarrays for high-throughput molecular profiling of tumor specimens. Nat Med. 1998;4(7):844–7.
- Spano JP, Andre F, Morat L, Sabatier L, Besse B, Combadiere C, et al. Chemokine receptor CXCR4 and early-stage non-small cell lung cancer: pattern of expression and correlation with outcome. Ann Oncol. 2004;15(4):613–7.
- Chen G, Wang Z, Liu XY, Liu FY. High-level CXCR4 expression correlates with brain-specific metastasis of non-small cell lung cancer. World J Surg. 2011;35(1):56–61.
- André ND, Silva VA, Ariza CB, Watanabe MA, De Lucca FL. In vivo knockdown of CXCR4 using jetPEI/CXCR4 shRNA nanoparticles inhibits the pulmonary metastatic potential of B16-F10 melanoma cells. Mol Med Rep. 2015;12(6):8320–6.
- Liu L, Dai Y, Chen J, Zeng T, Li Y, Chen L, et al. Maelstrom promotes hepatocellular carcinoma metastasis by inducing epithelial-mesenchymal transition by way of Akt/GSK-3beta/Snail signaling. Hepatology. 2014;59(2):531–43.
- Jung MJ, Rho JK, Kim YM, Jung JE, Jin YB, Ko YG, et al. Upregulation of CXCR4 is functionally crucial for maintenance of stemness in drug-resistant non-small cell lung cancer cells. Oncogene. 2013;32(2):209–21.
- Schmittgen TD, Livak KJ. Analyzing real-time PCR data by the comparative C(T) method. Nat Protoc. 2008;3:1101–8.
- He LR, Liu MZ, Li BK, Rao HL, Deng HX, Guan XY, et al. Overexpression of AlB1 predicts resistance to chemoradiotherapy and poor prognosis in patients with primary esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Cancer Sci. 2009;100(9):1591–6.
- 29. Guo S, Xu J, Xue R, Liu Y, Yu H. Overexpression of AlB1 correlates inversely with E-cadherin expression in pancreatic adenocarcinoma and may promote lymph node metastasis. Int J Clin Oncol. 2014;19(2):319–24.
- Sasaki T, Nakamura T, Rebhun RB, Cheng H, Hale KS, Tsan RZ, et al. Modification of the primary tumor microenvironment by transforming growth factor alpha-epidermal growth factor receptor signaling promotes metastasis in an orthotopic colon cancer model. Am J Clin Pathol. 2008;173(1):205–16.
- Uehara H, Kim SJ, Karashima T, Shepherd DL, Fan D, Tsan R, et al. Effects of blocking platelet-derived growth factor-receptor signaling in a mouse model of experimental prostate cancer bone metastases. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2003;95(6):458–70.
- Burger JA, Kipps TJ. CXCR4: a key receptor in the crosstalk between tumor cells and their microenvironment. Blood. 2006;107(5):1761–7.
- Liang JX, Gao W, Liang Y, Zhou XM. Chemokine receptor CXCR4 expression and lung cancer prognosis: a meta-analysis. Int J Clin Exp Med. 2015;8(4):5163–74.
- Wagner PL, Hyjek E, Vazquez MF, Meherally D, Liu YF, Chadwick PA, et al. CXCL12 and CXCR4 in adenocarcinoma of the lung: association with metastasis and survival. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2009;137(3):615–21.
- Bertolini G, D'Amico L, Moro M, Landoni E, Perego P, Miceli R, et al. Microenvironment-modulated metastatic CD133+/CXCR4+/EpCAMlung cancer-initiating cells sustain tumor dissemination and correlate with poor prognosis. Cancer Res. 2015;75(17):3636–49.
- Cheng Y, Qu J, Che X, Xu L, Song N, Ma Y, et al. CXCL12_SDF-1α induces migration via SRC-mediated CXCR4-EGFR cross-talk in gastric cancer cells. Oncol Lett. 2017;14(2):2103–10.
- 37. Mei Y, Yang JP, Qian CN. For robust big data analyses: a collection of 150 important pro-metastatic genes. Chin J Cancer. 2017;36(1):16.